NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Royal Oak, Michigan | August 5, 1940 | Subscription: Three Dollars Per Year

10c

U.S. IMPOSES WILL AT CUBAN CONFERENCE

Real Fifth Column Discovered: Would Join U. S.-Britain

See Page 14

Armed Jewish Youths Drill in New York

See Page 7

Spinach Made 'Popeye': Meet 'Judge' Rutherford

COMMENT ON WORLD EVENTS ★ THE HITLER STOPPERS ★ THE NEW DRAFT BILL

Dinner Chat

Tours to the Shrine

RECOGNIZING that readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE are also listeners over the years to the radio broadcasts of Father Coughlin, and that other thousands are devoted clients of St. Therese, the Little Flower, this National Magazine has been glad each summer to co-operate with individuals and committees in various parts of the country who are sponsoring tours to the Shrine in Royal Oak.

The month of August, mounting to a climax over the Labor Day week-end, provides the peak of the vacation tourist season.

The fourth annual pilgrimage to the Shrine, sponsored by the Social Justice League of the Fourth Congressional district of Ohio, is announced for Sunday, August 11. The following train schedule, via Baltimore & Ohio railroad, is announced:

Going—Special Train

		R. T. Fare
Leave		
Troy	4:00 a.m.	$3.25
Piqua	4:12 a.m.	3.25
Sidney	4:26 a.m.	3.25
Wapakoneta	4:54 a.m.	2.75
Lima	5:20 a.m.	2.75
Arrive		
Detroit	8:30 a.m.	

Half fare for children between ages 5 to 12.

Returning—Special Train

Leave	
Detroit (Fort Street Union Station)	8:00 p.m.
Arrive	
Lima	11:15 a.m.
Wapakoneta	11:35 p.m.
Sidney	12:04 a.m.
Piqua	12:20 a.m.
Troy	12:30 a.m.

Sunday, August 18 — Cincinnati, Ohio. Contact Mr. Fred Geier, 2535 Dennis St., Cincinnati, Ohio (AV. 2834), or Miss Clara Kelly, Baltimore and Ohio R.R. Office, Dixie Terminal Bldg., Cincinnati.

August 30, 31 and September 1, 2—New York.

A personally conducted tour from Brooklyn, New York, and vicinity to the Shrine of the Little Flower at Royal Oak, Michigan, Buffalo, N. Y., Niagara Falls and Our Lady of Victory Shrine at Lackawanna, N. Y.

Cost includes railroad fare and pullman (lower berth), on going trip, steamer fare, stateroom on steamer for two nights (all outside rooms), all meals, sightseeing trips to Niagara Falls, motor trip to Father Baker's Shrine at Lackawanna, motor trip to Detroit and Shrine of the Little Flower, Royal Oak, Mich.; $42 includes all expenses.

For further information and reservations, contact Mrs. Sadie D. Hynes, 4901 6th Ave., Brooklyn, New York.

Freedom of the Press

"A FREE and courageous press is part of the heritage of every American," is the opening sentence of an interesting book, *"Without Fear or Favor,"* by Neil MacNeil, assistant managing editor of the *New York Times.*

Mr. MacNeil is convinced that "the press of a nation is a true measure of its civilization. It is also the measure of the community; and the newspaper a man reads marks the culture of the individual."

When the popular will can no longer be reflected through the medium of an honest, free press, tyranny has displaced democracy.

Censorship is the weapon of tyranny to accomplish the subjection of a free people through the regimentation of their ideas and opinions in accordance with the will of those who wield power.

Honest criticism of our Government, its laws, the functioning of its bureaus, its administration of justice, its respect for the opinions of minorities, and of the public expressions of officials and representatives on matters of state and on public policy, is as essential to American democracy as the exercise of suffrage.

It is the strongest check to the abuse of power that can be used by a citizenry alert to infringements of cherished rights and privileges.

The trend to dictatorship and away from democracy must be gauged by the curtailment or the suppression of honest criticism as it is reflected in the press of the nation.

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.
Just living annual wage.
Nationalization of important public resources.
Private ownership of all other property.
Control of private property for public good.
Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a Government-owned Central Bank.
Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.
Cost of living maintained on an even keel.
Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.
Labor's right to organize.
Recall of non-productive bonds.
Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.
Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.
Simplification of Government and lower taxes.
Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.
Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with Government's chief concern for the poor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE, National Weekly, Copyrighted 1940 by the Social Justice Publishing Company; publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price, United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed, all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks are required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor; Cora Quinlan, Secretary-Treasurer.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted, either wholly or in part, without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from SOCIAL JUSTICE for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized.

Vol. 6 August 5, 1940 No. 6

Pete Hears About Golf

HELLO FOLKS:

Well, here I am, 'r what's left uv me, rather, t' say hello t' y' again. I thought I was gonna melt away f'r good las' week.

There's not much t' talk 'bout right now 'cept th' wether, 'n what c'n I tell y' 'bout that? Y'all know all that's t'be known 'bout that subjekt, 'cause that's all ennybuddy's been talkin' uv f'r th' las' kuppla weeks. 'Sides, let's f'rgit it if we can, ses I, between pants.

I haven't cirkulated outside much. I've stayed in my nice kool house most uv th' time, 'n when it got too bad Mrs. Coughlin let me inside her nice kool basement where I stayed quiet, so's I wouldn't get put outside again. Boy, it was swell down there!

Pal came down t' visut me durin' th' worst uv th' hot spell so's he could keep kool, too, so I didn't mind bein' kooped up atall. Havin' someone t' keep y' kompney with y're perspirin' 'n pantin' helps a lot.

Pal said it was so hot up at th' rektry he thought f'r sure his colors'd run inta each other, he was that wet. He wasn't alone in his mizery, I guess, 'cause none uv th' priests could get enny releef from th' heet atall. It must be awful, havin' t' wear kolers 'n heavy black suits 'n all the parafenalia they hafta put on. 'N poor Mary, too, standin' over a hot kitchun stove —golly, that must've been awful. If I'd've been Mary I think they would've been put on a liquid diet 'n kept on it 'till a break in th' heet came along.

' ' '

Dear me, I wasn't gonna say *one* word 'bout Ol' Sol 'n th' mizery he's been givin' us, 'n look—that's all I've talked 'bout! Habit, I guess.

Well, lets see, what else's happend. (Pause, while my poor brain gets a littul exersize). I'm kinda dopey these days, so y'll hafta be a littul tolerunt uv me, folks.

Oh, yes, I hafta quote Pal again 'cause, like I said, he's th' only kontakt with th' outside world I've had f'r a few days. Well, Pal says he's kinda worried 'bout his Boss these days—he dosn't know wether it's th' wether that's got him 'r what, but 'sides not eatin', he's now takin' up exersize —'n guess what form it's in? Yep, th' ol' golf bug's biten him too now.

Pal said y' could've knocked him over with a feather when he saw his Boss strut out uv th' house one fine sunny mornin' with a golf bag on his sholder 'n a cap on his head 'n hie himself off t' a golf links t' try his hand at th' game he's heard so much 'bout but never tried t' play—'n he had said he'd never play it, too—no sense t' it.

Well, Pal couldn't say jus' what inflooenced him t' try it now, with a record-brekin' heatwave on—but that's what he did. He borryed Father Kenny's sticks 'n made the course in—well, I guess I'd better keep that a sekrut. But he wasn't diskoraged, Pal said, 'cause he thretens to buy his own sticks 'n play a good game. Oh, oh! Pal 'n I'd better watch our step—he might swap us f'r a cuppla good retreevers 'r some-kind uv a huntin' dog t' scare up those ilusiv littul white balls that get away from th' best uv golfers 'n cause them t' lose their tempers 'n stuff. Oh, yes, I've heard a lot 'bout that game—somethings good—somethings jus' so-so.

Pal 'n I hope th' game'll be good f'r th' Boss 'n that he'll swing a mean club 'n show Father Peter up. Y'know, Father Peter's been th' champ uv th' outfit at th' Shrine jus' bout long enuf. It's time somebudy else had a chance t' crow a bit 'bout their prowess drivin' 'n puttin' 'n sinkin' th' "pill" in prakticully nothin' flat.

' ' '

We've hada lot uv nice letters from our readers recuntly tellin us how much they like SOCIAL JUSTICE'S new arranjmunt 'n th' *Comment* artakuls. Thanks a lot f'r lettin' us know, folks, what y' think uv us. It makes us feel kinda good, y' know, t' have a komplament once in a while—we're jus' human, like ev'ryone else.

I know I don't hafta menshun th' fakt that we'd like t' have even more peepul than we have already readin' SOCIAL JUSTICE 'n learnin' things like you folks are —y' know that we want new readers so's our prinsupuls'll spread all over th' country.

We hafta depend on you t' help us out on this end uv th' busnuss, folks, so don't ferget this subskripshun blank jus' below.

It's lookin' right up at y' 'n sayin', "Won't y' please cut me out 'n fill me in 'n send me back t' SOCIAL JUSTICE'S subskripshun d'partmunt? I don't wanna be jus' an ornamunt—I wanna work 'n do some good in this ol' world."

How about it? Don't disappoint us, please — that subskripshun blank 'n y'r ol' frien', Pete.

See y' later even if I'm only th' shadow uv a greese spot.

—PETE

SUBSCRIPTION COUPON

Date _____

Name _____

Address _____ City _____

State _____ Subscription rate $3 a year. Renewal ☐ New ☐

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., Royal Oak, Michigan

Comment

Cuban Conference

SECRETARY OF STATE HULL is the dominating figure at the Cuban Conference.

The South American Republics, the Central American Republics, Mexico and the United States in their meeting at Havana are engaged in a most important discussion.

Already an Import Bank has been instituted in the United States to extend loans to the South American Republics.

Already our Government is engaged in importing a considerable amount of foodstuffs from the South American Republics.

Already our corporations are engaged in exploiting the Venezuelan oil fields.

South America is our natural neighbor.

But the majority of the inhabitants of the South American Republics are more friendly to the Latin governments in Europe than they are to the government at Washington.

What good will come from the Cuban Conference?

Each representative seated there is seeking advantages for his own government.

The majority of them represent governments whose treasuries are devoid of gold.

On the other hand, Mr. Hull is seeking to dominate the Conference and the ideologies of the conferees by proposing an amalgamation of North and South American effort to preserve the economic system built upon the foundation of gold.

The press reports released from the Conference will not contain the off-stage remarks and resolutions of the South Americans who are reluctant to tie themselves to Mr. Hull's proposals.

Moreover, the South American Republics are not ready to enter into any stable union in support of the type of democracy practised in the United States and in opposition to the type of Fascism practised in Portugal, Spain and Italy.

Assuredly, it should be the objective of the Government of the United States to work out friendly and practical relations with the South American Republics. It must be done on a give and take basis. It can't be done by imposing our ideologies upon the Governments of Lima, Rio de Janeiro, Buenos Aires, Montevideo and the other Capitals.

And it seems to us that we cannot afford to enter into any agreement with the Argentine, particularly, on the basis, for example, that we will send machinery and manufactured goods to Buenos Aires and receive payment for them in beef, wheat, coffee and other products of the field.

And why? Because our farmers would be the sufferers.

Because our taxpayers would be forced to sustain idle fields and idle agriculturists under an extended A.A.A. program.

And the *Monroe Doctrine* may not be totally acceptable to the representatives from the South American Republics.

While we are anxious for Mr. Hull to achieve success during and following this Conference, we lament the fact that for the past fifty years we Americans, in our shortsightedness, neglected to cultivate the peoples of the Southern continent, preferring to hitch our wagon to the star of British imperialism.

It will require more than the Cuban Conference to undo the harm wrought by our infringing upon the *Monroe Doctrine* in the sense that we interfered with European politics and identified ourselves with British progress to such an extent that we have become dependent, in one sense, upon the British fleet, the British economic structure and the British pound sterling.

Following the present war, it is possible that Germany will obtain tremendous influence on the West Coast of South Africa.

This Coast is closer to Buenos Aires and Rio de Janeiro than is the Eastern Coast of North America.

And the Germans, now dedicated to a 60-hour working week, might find themselves in a better position to trade with the Southern Republics than the North Americans who are ambling along under a 40-hour week with 10-million men unemployed and with a prospective program in sight for militarizing the youth of the nation.

Nothing definite and lasting will come from the Cuban Conference.

At best, it is but a late beginning for the working out of a much-needed policy of give and take.

* * *

Side Issue

THE CUBAN CONFERENCE is not pleasing to Spain.

The reason: It is the object of the conference to totalitarianize, under the dictatorship of the United States, both North and South America—and this for an economic reason.

We have our doubts, as expressed above, if this object will be attained. The South American Republics have no gold. They are silver producing countries.

But here comes the side issue: South American countries were called on last week to share in the revolutionary responsibility, under the leadership of Spain, against Yankee imperialism.

Those words appeared in the *Chicago Tribune* and were written by Maurice English.

The appeal was echoed by most of the controlled press in Spain.

And the word "controlled" is used advisedly because Francisco Franco will not allow papers to print material that is disparaging to the welfare of Spain.

And the United States papers, in one sense, are controlled because the internationalists will not allow editors long to print material disparaging to their cause.

That may explain why we know so little about the inside of the Cuban Conference Cup.

Of course, Spain was in South America before we gained our independence in this country.

In fact, South America was stolen from Spain, or revolted from Spain and Portugal, but Spanish and Portugese influences still dominate the social temperament of the people from Venezuela to the Cape.

The Cuban Conference is not going as smoothly as our news reports indicate.

A recent picture of the conference appearing in our papers shows Mr. Hull dressed in a black suit and all the rest in white. Perhaps that is symbolic because he is regarded by the majority of South American republics as hostile to their progress.

* * *

Washington Whisper

RECENTLY we paused to comment upon the rumor that "Tommy the Cork"—better known as Thomas Corcoran—has become a less frequent visitor at the White House.

In fact, the White House is being traded by Tommy for the dog house.

There was a time when this versatile, hard-working young man pounded out considerable portions of Mr. Roosevelt's speeches.

Tommy did not write the acceptance speech, so we are informed.

Instead, Judge Samuel Rosenman is credited with being the chief pen-man of Mr. Roosevelt's third term acceptance speech.

The Boss is too busy these days to attend to the minor details of writing.

All of which reminds us that we should not pay too much attention to what he says when others write his speeches for him.

Index to Comment

Cuban Conference; Side Issue; Father Coughlin to Broadcast; Washington Whisper ..... Page 3

The Four Horsemen; Meet "Judge" Rutherford ..... Page 4

British for Roosevelt; Beds in Offices; British Super-tax; Major Al Williams; The Day Previous; Get the Top Reds ..... Page 5

Jewish Drilling; Drill Master Hefter; The "Jewish Criterion"; Dear Mexico; Put in Their Place ..... Page 7

Mr. James Roosevelt; Mutual Radio System; An Editorial Spanking ..... Page 8

The New Draft Bill ..... Page 12

Modern Pilgrims; Soviet New York; Why the Big Army; Synthetic Rubber; Monetary Reform ..... Page 13

The Fifth Column Discovered; Two News Items; Flying for Britain ..... Page 14

The Hitler Stoppers; "Il Luce" Pays Off ..... Page 15

Father Coughlin to Broadcast

LITERALLY thousands upon thousands of letters have come to Father Coughlin's office petitioning him to resume his broadcasting activities.

One of his secretaries recently said: "His popularity as a radio speaker was never fully appreciated until our office staff began reading the bags of letters from people who want him to return to the air immediately."

We are at liberty to say that an approach has been made to many worthwhile stations to accept contracts for Father Coughlin's fall broadcasts.

The radio audience would not expect their speaker to waste money on what is known in the trade as "cats and dogs."

Comment

The Four Horsemen

THE STENCH which emanated from the Democratic packed convention at Chicago is slowly being dissipated.

Frank Kent, Washington correspondent of the *Sun* papers of Baltimore, characterized the convention as being overrun by the four horsemen.

The first of these political bosses is Mr. Eddie Flynn, the dominant factor in the Bronx-Tammany organization. He is known as the chief Federal patronage dispenser for all New York and a frequent White House visitor.

The second horseman is Senator Joe Guffey of Pennsylvania who, for 8 years, is reputed to have obtained more jobs from the Administration to distribute than any other individual in the country.

The third member of the quartet is Mayor Eddie Kelly of Chicago, whom Mr. Tom Courtney, according to Mr. John T. Flynn in *Collier's*, once accused of being in league with gangsters, bookies and thieves.

Kelly runs Chicago and Illinois like a cook runs a kitchen. Everything passes through his hands and is served as he wants it.

And finally, there is Mr. Frank Hague, the "bull-boss of the New Jersey pastures."

The peculiar thing about these four horsemen described by Mr. Kent is that they are Catholics.

In their individual, domestic and spiritual lives they appear to be exemplary Catholics.

It is all a mystery how such good men as these can lend their names and influences to many of the things associated with the New Deal Government.

Perhaps, they are realists, taking things as they are.

However, Frank Kent said that these four political horsemen constituted the real power behind the draft movement.

Combined, they controlled more than 200 delegates at the convention.

They have been among Mr. Roosevelt's faithful supporters from the start.

The thought strikes us, however, that should the Roosevelt dynasty be liquidated, repercussions will be experienced by these horsemen.

And a parting word: Popularly the four horsemen are considered to be four plagues.

As a matter of fact, three of them were plagues and one of them—the White Horse —was Christ.

Perhaps Frank Kent, erroneously speaking of the four bad horsemen, might be in error about at least one of these politicians.

* * *

Meet 'Judge' Rutherford

POPEYE the Sailor says, "I yam what I yam."

And spinach is accredited with making Popeye what he is.

In the case of "Judge" Rutherford, Pastor Russell was the spinach.

In fact, to understand Rutherford, it will be necessary to taste spinach.

Some years ago Pastor Russell was regusted with the current interpretations given to Scripture by both Catholics and Protestants.

So something had to be done about it.

Thus, the Great Russell, trading on the ignorance of simpletons, ventured to interpret the Scriptures, considerable portions of which were written originally in Greek.

Now there is nothing like quoting a couple of Greek words at a couple of simpletons to confound them. So the Pastor pretended that he knew more Greek than Homer did—and at least as much as did Basil and Jerome.

Of course, the good Pastor had a Greek dictionary. But he frequently attributed a special sense to the Greek words he used —a sense which they never possessed in their original language at all.

Challenged in an American court, he was forced to admit that he did not know Greek and was unable even to name the letters of the Greek alphabet.

Well, that's that for the gent who poured out page after page of arrant nonsense with which he tried to support the myriads of texts torn from their contexts, and upon which he endeavored to perform a Burbank operation.

The result was that his spinach was infected with garlic, from a lexicographer's viewpoint.

Eventually, the good man died, as do all spinach-eaters. But before shuffling off this mortal soil, he taught that there was a second coming of Christ which took place in 1874.

* * *

And with this introduction we come to Judge Rutherford, who is not a judge.

The judge who is not a judge grabbed off the Pastor Russell following after the demise of the latter in 1916. He had spent a long novitiate as one of the editorial committee of Russell's paper, *Zion's Watch Tower*. During the days he served as a committeeman, he appropriated the mailing list which, in anybody's language, is equivalent to dollars and cents.

So, let's meet Judge Rutherford, who is not a judge, and try to find out what he really teaches.

First of all, he has the basic cure for the depression in his hip pocket. He maintains that there is going to be a thousand years of peace and prosperity when his program will be accepted.

Because he has a pipe-line to heaven, he issues frequent *communiques*.

For instance, he tells us that in 1914, God handed over to Christ full authority over this world.

This was displeasing to the villain of the peace named Satan, who immediately started a row.

Thus, a war at once took place in heaven between Christ with the good angels and Satan and his evil mob.

Mind you, this war took place in heaven. But Judge Rutherford forgot to tell us how Satan played the "One-Eyed Connolly" act and crashed the gate, despite St. Peter, who had charge of the stiles.

However, Satan was given the rush. Descending to earth, he plunged Christendom into the World War of 1914.

At length, in 1918, Almighty God stopped the war for the single, express purpose of allowing Rutherford's agents to travel about distributing Rutherford's books to the millions, and giving testimony of the approaching end.

Ain't that something!

* * *

Meanwhile, the Judge who is not a judge changed the name of the organization from that of the Watch Tower Society to that of the Witnesses of Jehovah — he being the chief witness.

And without surcease he started to denounce all Christian churches — Catholic and Protestant—as being in the hire of the devil.

While he preaches a new deal for his witnesses, he is Hitleresque in his denunciation of Christians.

In fact, he declares that "the worse tribulation that has ever afflicted mankind" is to come upon organized Christendom.

Logically, therefore, he refuses to salute the *Stars and Stripes* because the Marines and Rough Riders won't blast the daylights out of the bishops, priests and ministers.

No fooling! The Judge is not a judge. Originally he was a court reporter at Boonville, Cooper County, Missouri!

Familiar with court procedure, but without any formal training in law, he got himself a license to practice as a lawyer in the State of Missouri in 1892.

Four times in Cooper County—and in the capacity of a local lawyer—he presided over the local court in the absence of the regular judge. On each occasion he presided for one day only.

Of course, other local lawyers also took their turn during the absences of the regular judge, who might have been away because of the gout, a black eye or 'possum hunting.

No other lawyer ever got such a swell head as to call himself a judge. According to Mr. Rutherford's logic, practically every lawyer in Missouri is a judge.

* * *

And by the same token, Mr. Rutherford is not an interpreter of the Bible.

From his perusal of the pages of Scripture, this would-be judge declares that he does not believe in religion of any kind. In fact, the Bible proves that religion is a bad thing, so thinks the Judge.

And if you corner him to demand an answer to the question, "Who invented religion?", he'll fling a hot coal back into your hands and say, "Satan did it!"

Consequently, Judge Rutherford's followers have no religious obligations save to help him attack religion, distribute his literature, play his gramophone records, refuse to salute the flag and drive his old jalopies down Woodward Avenue in Detroit or around the Judge's printing plant in Brooklyn.

Life would be darned lonesome unless we had a few "Judge" Rutherfords to remind us of the old story about the fleas on the dog's back.

So long, Judge! If you're in the market for a few carloads of paper, call up Joe Stalin.

And if you need a Greek lexicon, we have one that's going cheap at a dollar.

And, by the way, you call your followers "witnesses." Just a friendly tip to let you know that the word "witness," in Greek, is equivalent to the word "martyr."

The boys and gals are certainly martyrs to some cause.

We wonder if it is to yours.

Comment

British for Roosevelt

THE BRITISH press is mighty concerned with the re-election of President Roosevelt.

And why not? He is the last hope entertained by the Britishers for the maintenance of their economic system and their imperial internationalism.

Virtually every London newspaper and periodical suggests that America participate as a decisive victor in this war.

The *London Times*, commenting upon Mr. Roosevelt's acceptance speech, said it was "a mighty wind dispersing a noxious fog sweeping away all the calculated ambiguities and political trimmings which marked the party programs." It predicted that the speech "will reach far beyond the convention delegates, far beyond the frontiers of the United States."

That is a pleasant thought for the young men now about to be drafted to sell their lives or their futures for the maintenance of gold, exploitation and internationalism.

Candidus, writing in the *Daily Sketch*, advocates that the American voters "for their own salvation must entrust the President with plenary powers until the war is over. It is the only way."

This writer further says, in recommending totalitarian powers for Mr. Roosevelt: "President Roosevelt has the courage to act but what he lacks is a mandate from the American people to act."

The *London Economist* predicts that the American people will follow Mr. Roosevelt's lead in wrenching powers from Congress.

Of interest to the American people will be the amount of British pressure put upon our people to elect war candidate Roosevelt to the office of the President.

* * *

Beds in Offices

ON JULY 18th the *New York Times* printed a London article with the headline, "British Ministers to Sleep in Offices."

That is not news. The British Ministers have been sleeping in their offices for several years.

Ramsay MacDonald was seldom awake even when at his desk. In fact, Ramsay MacDonald and his so-called Labor Government, which later on turned turtle, revived the idea that England was the land of the lotus-eaters.

"Less work and more pay" was the characteristic dream which snored itself upon the statute books of England during that Prime Minister's incumbency.

Occasionally this uncanny Scot permitted himself and his Cabinet to dream of the division of wealth.

He was not interested in the distribution of wealth through work and an honest money system; for that would be a stern reality appropriate only for one's waking hours.

As it tossed and turned feverishly upon its office couch, the MacDonald Government dreamed the impractical policy of dividing wealth; of taking from the rich and giving to the poor so that, eventually, all would enjoy the lotus blessedness of idleness and poverty.

And now comes the most unpoetic, lugubrious humorist of them all to speak his piece upon the stage of world events in a vein of seriousness akin to comedy.

Yes; Prime Minister Churchill, "who has always worked on the theory that anybody who sleeps more than five hours a night is a worthless slacker, announced in the House of Commons today that he has asked his leading Cabinet Ministers to move out of their houses and sleep in their offices where they can be gathered together at a moment's notice."

The above quotation is from the *New York Times*.

We wonder if the editor who printed it was casting journalistic aspersions upon the British Government which so ardently he has supported in the past.

And it strikes us that Mr. Chamberlain and his Government, inheriting the *basso profundo* snorings of the MacDonald regime, did little more than sleep in their offices.

Seriously, Mr. Chamberlain was forced to accept a policy of appeasement with Germany because his predecessors in power had left England destitute of a well-equipped army and air force.

Chamberlain, despite his umbrella and all that it signifies, well knew that it was better for him to accept the crumbs of peace that fell from Hitler's table than to engage the Fuehrer on the battlefield.

The umbrella was symbolic of the rainy political weather and the tempestuous storms which were gathering upon the horizon.

And certainly Hore-Belisha, the Jewish Minister of War, was asleep in his office for many months. This little North African *emigre* cared more for his spats, his cane and his perpetual publicity, than he did for a hard-boiled, well-trained army.

Then there was Tony Eden. It is not entirely correct to say that Tony the Gawk was asleep in his office. Indeed, this political jumping-jack preferred to walk in his sleep.

In fact, he walked all over Europe clad in the uncouth night-dress of inexperience.

Old-timesters kept him cooling his heels in a non-air-cooled office.

Like most sleep-walkers, Tony miraculously found his way home and into the Churchill Cabinet safely tucked into his little trundle-bed while the foundations of England are cracking.

Thus, the *New York Times* unchivalrously advertises that the British Cabinet still sleeps in their offices.

The world thought that was a secret to be whispered only amongst those who had fled lotus-land long ago.

Now the secret is out, as one gathers from the heavy-breathing propaganda which is sounding in our ears.

British Super-Tax

THE HEAVIEST taxes in world history were imposed last week upon the English citizenry.

The nation's highest war-time budget demanded that the Britishers in England give up 70 per cent of their normal income to finance the war.

A man making $2,000 a year will turn $1,400 a year over to the government. He, with his family, will try to live for a year on $600!

That is worse than confiscation!

Even if Britain wins the war this definitely means the end of British financial economy.

No sane people patiently will pay 70 per cent of one's income to any government.

Repudiation is just around the corner.

This will mean that, win or lose, Great Britain will depart from the capitalistic economic system.

This means that the United States of America will be left alone as a defender of that system.

Major Al. Williams

IN A SCRIPPS-HOWARD article, Major Williams has some pertinent things to say about aviation and, incidentally, about national defense.

Here is his briefed article:

"I met the counterpart of these 'financiers' in England during the period between 1936 and 1938. They were engaged in sabotaging the smooth functioning of the Air Ministry in furtherance of the contracts on which they were gambling. There are no more of 'these people' in our aircraft industry than in any other industry, manufacturing products classified as 'munitions' at this particular time.

"But they are creating situations that will literally smell when the lid is removed. These were the fellows who exhibited no great excitement when this country went 'air-cooled' in engines, leaving us today facing the desperate expedient of manufacturing foreign-designed Rolls-Royce engines."

* * *

The Day Previous

PREVIOUS to the printing of the above article, Major Williams said in the Scripps-Howard newspapers:

"So far we have imitated England and France in everything that led to their disaster, and now we are looking over some of the routine stunts of totalitarian governments. The Allies also copied totalitarian schemes of usurping all power and rights when trouble burst upon them. In other words, we have culled the field and are incorporating all the bad points in our own program.

"It is my strong belief that if we are going to save this democracy, or any other, we must pump new, enterprising, and experienced blood into the government controls. We are directly imitating France and England in shipping planes and engines abroad to foreign nations—to help or prepare those nations to withstand some nearby aggressor. When the hour of crisis came, the Allies bought every type of engine and plane we had to sell.

"In 1936, British Rolls-Royce engines were found in planes that were being built in the Junkers Company in Dessau, Germany, for Scandinavian and other countries. And now England is so sorely beset that she has relinquished her most precious defense asset, the Rolls-Royce engine, to American manufacturers so that they can build in this country what she failed to build back home in the days of national defense —'homework'."

* * *

Get the Top Reds

IMPORTANT Communists and Communist leaders are well known, the *Philadelphia Record* points out. Even where they have "front organizations," it usually is not difficult to pick out the Red cliques trying to run them.

"If there are no charges on which important Communists can be brought to account," reasons the *Record*, "then certainly there is no warrant for persecution of thousands of innocent persons simply because their names are on a Communist petition."

As long as Communists are "coddled" by the higher-ups in Government; as long as their "civil rights" are zealously guarded above the rights of those whom their activity imperils — ranting at Communism is like jousting with windmills and pointing out Communists will be assailed as "witch hunting."

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

The Gall of Lord Lothian

LORD LOTHIAN, British ambassador to the United States, reached a new all-time high in begging.

Last week he made an open bid for American-built warships to aid in defense against the expected German invasion of the British Isles.

In a radio address he declared that the most urgent material need of Great Britain from America is "destroyers and armed motor boats to assist in preventing the invasion of Britain and to deal with submarines."

He added: "A hundred of these now might mean the difference between success and failure. In the longer run, we need airplanes."

What does Lord Lothian think the American Republic is?

Is it not more than an appendage of the British Empire?

Is there not such a thing as neutrality?

In the same radio address Lord Lothian definitely said that Great Britain could hold out against Hitler.

If that is true, why does His Lordship attempt to entangle us in Britain's war?

If the statements attributed to him and to Mr. Churchill are true, namely, that Great Britain can overwhelm Germany, why does Britain try to entangle us in the war of her own making?

Either Great Britain is full of bluff and ready to topple over even with our help, or Great Britain is competent to destroy Germany.

Since the 18th Century, Great Britain has controlled 400-million people in India and approximately 100-million people living elsewhere on this globe.

Her Empire extends to the seven seas.

Why is it that she, unable to engage the activities of these 500-million subject persons, must call upon the United States of America to pull her chestnuts out of the fire?

If there were real patriotism inside the British Empire; and if British economy were a progressive economy, the inhabitants of the tight little isle—or rather, they who dominate the inhabitants—would not be calling upon neutral nations to run to their aid.

Gold and Lamp Posts

WHAT WILL happen to our gold hoard at Fort Knox, Kentucky, and elsewhere following the war, if Germany wins?

Possibly, we may use it to protect our bonds and debts.

Definitely, it will not be used in any great quantity for the furtherance of trade in Europe or Asia.

In a recent radio address, a German propagandist read an article by Dr. Joseph Winschuh predicting that if Germany wins the war, she will not be interested in imposing reparations in gold or manufactured goods upon the vanquished.

He is quoted as saying that Germany would regain "what it was robbed of" in raw materials and valuable rights.

The broadcast quoted further from Dr. Winschuh. It said that again, as in the World War, the United States was thought to be the "greatest profiteer" and gathered almost all the world's gold, until gold came to be valueless outside the United States, and the European economic exchange system won over the trade of South America.

Finally, the United States, finding no other use for its vast gold reserves, would use the gold to decorate public buildings and street lamps!

Walter Funk, Minister of Economics under Hitler, gave a 45-minute interview touching upon the above points.

Speaking specifically about the Cuban conference, Mr. Funk predicted the failure of the United States to erect a united front of the South American and North American republics to withstand the spread of the Nazi economic system.

Speaking of the gold hoard at Fort Knox, Herr Funk added that if America plans to use it, it must be re-valued upwards so that prices will be lowered and dollars multiplied.

He concluded his interview by reminding the world what the world already knows but does not care to think about—especially the capitalistic world.

And what was this reminder? It is as obvious as the nose on one's face. It is this: Europe is a new Europe dominated by the Nazi economic system of barter and production, with gold considered as nothing more than iron or tin or oil or silver.

Europe will carry on its business under that economy.

If the United States wants to trade with Europe, it will be under that economy. Otherwise, the United States can take its gold marbles and go home, being content to play in its own back yard.

Monsignor McMenamin

RT. REV. HUGH L. MCMENAMIN is rector of the Cathedral of the Immaculate Conception in Denver, Colorado.

Minus all weasel words, the Monsignor denounces Mr. Roosevelt's third term campaign in the following language:

"If President Roosevelt, against all precedent, answering, as he admits, a quiet voice known as conscience, submits against personal wishes to a so-called draft to become a candidate for a third term as president of the United States, then I, a humble priest, who am first an American, listening to a voice of conscience that marches like an army with drums and banners, call upon free Americans to join with me in organizing an offensive against an inevitable dictatorship for this country.

"Never, in a long life crowded with events, can I recall such an insult offered to the intelligence of American people as that included in the message by Franklin D. Roosevelt, transmitted by radio to the delegates at the Democratic national convention in Chicago in which he states the motives for acceptance.

"Did he imagine as he sat at his desk reading that speech that the people of the United States are so dumb as not to know it had been carefully prepared?

"Does Franklin D. Roosevelt imagine that he can hide a consuming greed for power and more power under pleasant words arranged to emphasize the virtue of self-sacrifice?

"Do the people of America, the women and men who will cast the votes in November, believe for a minute that the delegates had a free choice in the selection of leaders?

"We are not that dumb! And because we are not dumb and because our intelligence can resist insult, we must, as loyal Americans, holding to policies laid down by Washington and Jefferson, defeat Roosevelt at the polls.

"Otherwise America as we have known and loved it is gone."

Calling attention to historic facts, Monsignor McMenamin said:

"This is not the first time we must put service to country above party. This is not a privilege but a duty. Never more earnestly than now must we accept the duty.

"A third term, which Roosevelt has accepted, savors of dictatorship."

Comment

Jewish Drilling

THERE is a Nai Juda movement in America.

What does this mean?

It means there is a movement among the Jews to erect an independent Jewish State in South America.

This movement has now expanded, casting its eyes upon Alaska, according to the *New York Herald Tribune* of Sunday, July 21st.

The Alaskan program has the benediction of the War Department and the Department of the Interior.

But that is not all. The Jews in New York are recruiting "tough young Jews."

They are being armed with guns.

They are being drilled.

The *Herald Tribune* goes so far as to print a picture of their being drilled in Mr. Hefter's office at 112 West Forty-sixth Street. Joseph Otmar Hefter is a former lieutenant of Bela Kun, notorious Red terrorist of Hungary.

And the purpose for all this—at least, the advertised purpose?

Their leaders say that these Jews are being regimented—under a veteran of four armies—to put an army in the field to protect United States territory.

This veteran, Drill Master Hefter—recently appeared in Washington before the Senate Committee on Territories and Insular Affairs. He asserted that Senator King of Utah commended the plan.

Unless memory is tricky, it was only a few weeks ago since Christian Fronters were arrested for conspiring against the Government because a few of their members joined a rifle club and manifested hostility towards the Communists in America.

Of course, advertised objectives and real objectives sometimes are poles apart.

Why should the Jews be allowed to form an armed regiment?

If their project is commended by the Government, why should not there be a Catholic regiment?

Or, better still, since the majority of Jews are "Jews" racially, but not Jews religiously, because they do not live up to their religion or attend Synagogue, why should not there be an Italian regiment, a German regiment, a Russian regiment?

All this reeks of un-Americanism!

After all, are we not Americans?

We may have national ties with residents abroad but these ties are woven of the filament of which dreams are made and memories spun.

Our real ties are here in America.

Long since have genuine Americans dropped the hyphen.

When will the Jews drop the hyphen?

When will the Germans drop the hyphen?

When will the Irish drop the hyphen?

When will all others drop the hyphen and become real Americans?

It is admitted that there is no Jewish nationality simply because there is no Jewish flag and no Jewish country *ex professo.*

But there is a Jewish race. And there is a distinction between nationality and race.

There is a Slavic race, for example, but no Slavic nation, although there is the Russian nation, the Roumanian nation, *et cetera,* all of whom are Slavs.

There is no Celtic nation although there is a Celtic race composed of the Irish, the Scotch and the Welsh.

SOCIAL JUSTICE does not like this Jewish drilling.

If Alaska needs to be defended, Americans can take care of it independent of the racial origins of our ancestors.

Moreover—and plainly speaking—the entire Jewish drill movement is under suspicion and is creating dissension amongst the ranks of non-Jews towards Jews for the simple reason that New York Jewry has, out of all proportion to its numbers, been identified with the Communist radical movement in that city.

* * *

Drill Master Hefter

IN ADDITION to his activity as former lieutenant of the notorious Bela Kun, Drill Master Hefter is revealed by the *Herald-Tribune* as a "writer, retired clothing merchant and veteran of four armies." Nothing is said of his prowess as a photographer.

According to a news item which appeared in the *New York World-Telegram* (June 10, 1938), Hefter was a photographer who specialized in nude portraits for which he charged his clients $100 for five poses.

In an interview with a *Telegram* reporter Hefter said: "There is no reason why people shouldn't keep a record of their body . . . nude photographs lead to more freedom in human relationships."

After his adventure in pornographic photography, Mr. Hefter organized the *Nai Juda* movement, which called for the establishment of a Jewish army for the protection of "an independent Jewish State in the Western Hemisphere."

'The Jewish Criterion'

THE ABOVE is the name of a Jewish magazine printed at Pittsburgh.

In its June 19th edition, page 7, we read the following:

"Pope Pius made no lasting contribution to world peace when he allegedly approved the French puppet government of Marshal Petain this week. His stern denunciations of Nazi tactics prior to and during the present war do not set well in view of his friendly gesture toward a State which exists only by sufferance and whose very leaders wear the stain of the turn-coat on their bosoms.

"His Holiness' inconsistency makes him a little hard to follow."

The above contribution indicates the mind of at least some Jews who are most friendly to the Pope when he speaks in favor of the democracies and unfriendly to him when he approves a Christian government opposed to the vices which predominated the Jewish-controlled government of the former French Republic.

His Holiness is not inconsistent.

But democracy has been inconsistent in so far as it established secret societies, militated against Christians in France, and exploited the populace through its imperialism and gold control.

Democracy is all right so long as it does not become notorious for its vices.

If its vices take the limelight, those who uphold it in its practice must share the blame for its decadence.

Dear Mexico

FOR THE PAST several years Mexico has become infamous for its intolerance towards Christianity and its persecution of Catholics.

A high source recently declared that President Cardenas has approved a program that would more than quadruple Mexico's armed forces, with the aid of the United States.

Thus the United States is about to aid a communistically inclined government that has persecuted Christians in a degree far more intolerable than that ever attributed to the Nazis.

The source asserted that within a few weeks a credit of more than $1-million from the United States would be made available to the Mexican government to carry out this expansion under sixty United States experts from the Army and Navy.

And the reason?

To prevent the Nazis landing in Mexico and perverting its people.

God save the mark!

Thus the defense program is aimed to increase the Mexican standing army to more than 300,000; the officer corps to 10,000; and the navy by six destroyers from the United States Navy to strengthen the Mexican sea force.

The Christians and the Catholics of the United States of America are surfeited with the protestations of those who become ill mentally when they think of persecution in Europe but bromo-seltzerized when they are told of the persecution South of the Rio Grande.

* * *

Put in Their Place

THE COMMENTATOR recently pointed out that with all the various organizations, some six-sevenths of the nation's youth are left without organization or discipline. So that the noisy American Youth Congress, with its pink share of the organized seventh, is not such a tremendous influence even among the country's younger folk.

It has remained for Cabineteer Knox's *Chicago Daily News* to put the Youth Congress in its place with an editorial entitled *"Childish Squabbles."* Says a Chicago editorial writer:

". . . most of the noise is accounted for by an attempt on the part of some equally unimportant children—abetted by one or two middle-aged axe-grinders — to rescue A.Y.C. from its own desperate clutches. Somehow or other, Mr. Gene Tunney, the long count whisky merchant, has managed to ride his bicycle right smack into the middle of the proceedings. Working through some stooges, he promises to save the young congressers from the curse of Communism. This is rather like saving Mr. Goering from Mr. Hitler. To be sure, the A.Y.C. denies Communist affiliations. But until it quits following the party line . . . there will be little faith in its protestations.

"In any case, the country is safe. The delegation of Lake Geneva consists of 500 deluded kids. Despite their wild-eyed claims to millions of active supporters, they represent chiefly themselves and a smart adult steering committee."

Comment

Mr. James Roosevelt

RECENTLY James Roosevelt lost his lucrative position in the West Coast motion picture industry.

It was generally suspected that he had been employed in the first place to cushion an investigation on the part of the Treasury into the tax affairs of his motion picture boss.

And now he is venturing upon his own screen project.

Here is the story:

When many motion picture magnates hesitated whether to show in America a British anti-Nazi film admittedly packed with social dynamite, James Roosevelt, the President's son, chose to do so, indifferent to the consequences that might arise.

The film is *"Pastor Hall,"* made in England by Grand National Pictures, Ltd. It is based on an unpublished play by the notorious Ernst Toller. It contains a sprinkling of Pastor Niemoeller drama.

Already the deal for the American rights to this film has been closed, according to David Griesdorf, the Eastern representative for Mr. Roosevelt.

It is sad to think that the presidential family of the United States long since has not interested itself in exposing Communism.

The father of the family spoke his piece in favor of Communism on the occasion of welcoming Ambassador Oumansky from the Soviet Union to America.

The mother of the family has identified herself with the red youth movement.

And now the eldest son of the family, after having dabbled in insurance, political "fixing," importing, exporting and other activities, plunges into the motion picture business to stir up anti-German sentiment in these United States.

When will the Roosevelt family get on the side of peace and Americanism through the medium of good example and prudence?

* * *

Mutual Radio System

THE MUTUAL Radio System is identified with Station WOR, New York City.

Station WOR is owned, for all practical purposes, by Mr. Straus who controls the destinies of Macy's department store.

Although WOR once carried Father Coughlin's broadcasts, it soured on the Radio Priest, refusing to sell him time because he was engaged in controversial subjects.

At least, that was the advertised reason.

The real reason, according to many, rested upon the premise that Father Coughlin was anti-Semitic.

WOR and the Mutual Broadcasting System once more imposed program restrictions upon the American public.

In fact, WOR is more censorious than is the United States Government.

In fact, WOR would like to impose its narrow attitude upon all Americans including the Government.

Affiliated with WOR in the Mutual Broadcasting System is the Don Lee Broadcasting System operative in California.

Its destinies are controlled by one of Mr. Straus's co-racials—a gentleman by the name of Mr. Weiss.

And here is a story telegraphed to the *Chicago Tribune:*

"Berlin, July 20—German radio authorities in Berlin announced today that the Mutual Broadcasting System would in the future be denied radio service from German territory 'owing to an incident which occurred in America on Friday in connection with Adolf Hitler's address.' The official German news agency carried an item about the incident describing it as 'unprecedented in the history of the American wireless.'

"The incident referred to was the cutting off of the last half hour of Hitler's speech from the stations of the Don Lee Broadcasting System, Mutual's West Coast affiliate, it was explained by Fred Weber, general manager of the Mutual Broadcasting System in New York yesterday.

"The last half hour of Hitler's address was cut off from all Don Lee stations on orders from Lewis Allen Weiss, general manager and vice president of the Don Lee System, who said that it was 'not in the public interest to continue the broadcast of Mr. Hitler from Germany' for American radio listeners.

"Weber has opened negotiations with Dr. Otto Frederich Dieterich, chief of the German radio system, for the rescinding of the Berlin order. He pointed out to Dr. Dieterich that the Fuehrer's speech was not cut off the Mutual network's coast to coast lines, but only those stations of the Don Lee System covering the states of California, Oregon, and Washington, and that the rest of the network carried the speech to its conclusion. Weber also stated that the Don Lee network is only an affiliated network of the Mutual System."

* * *

An Editorial Spanking

RELATED to the above story is an editorial which appeared in the *Baltimore Sun.*

It is headed, "A Radio Network Manager Makes an Unsound Decision."

Says the *Sun;*

"These are times that try not merely men's souls but their judgments. Sometimes the soul stands the strain better than the judgment. There is, for instance, the action of the general manager of the Mutual-Don Lee network, a Pacific Coast system of thirty-one radio stations, in cutting the broadcast of Mr. Hitler's latest address off the air. According to the Mutual-Don Lee general manager, 'the management of this network is of the opinion that it is not in the public interest nor in harmony with the attitude of this Government to permit the continuation of a broadcast by Mr. Hitler from Germany. We feel sure that our American listeners will concur in our opinion that Mr. Hitler should not be permitted to use our American facilities to justify his crimes against civilization itself . . . '

"For our part, we feel sure that the Mutual-Don Lee management is all wrong. We are especially disturbed by the manager's assumption that broadcasting Mr. Hitler is not 'in harmony with the attitude of this Government.' Surely nothing the Federal Communications Commission has said to its radio licensees justifies the suggestion that they are privileged, at their discretion, to deny Mr. Hitler to American radio listeners.

"The wisdom of any policy, after all, may be tested by extending it. If it is good for thirty-one stations on the Pacific Coast to cut Mr. Hitler off, then it is good for all the stations west of the Mississippi to cut him off. If it is good for all the stations west of the Mississippi to cut Mr. Hitler off, then why is it not good for all the stations east of the Mississippi to cut him off? And if the radio stations cut him off, why not the newspapers?

"What the Mutual-Don Lee management seems to be arguing, in brief, is that the public interest will be served if the public is kept from knowing what the No. 1 peacebreaker of the world is up to. This is ridiculous upon the face of it. *If* ignorance is bliss, admittedly 'tis folly to be wise. But nothing in the history of the last eleven months suggests that there is any bliss—any lasting bliss—in being ignorant of Hitler's purposes."

Why Not Asia, Too?

JAPANESE newspapers, statesmen and politicians charge that America, Russia and Great Britain have entered into a "secret treaty" covering Europe and Asia.

Of course, it is known that even though Japan may not have entered into an actual treaty with Germany and Italy, yet Japan will take advantage of any attack launched by the axis partners in Europe, to grab British and French possessions in Asia.

Japan already has endeavored to precipitate incidents to annex Indo-China, Amoy and other French colonies in Asia, figuring that conquered France cannot defend her possessions.

In the face of repeated bombings of England, and British-Italian naval engagements, the Japanese demanded that England close the Burma road, a route for the transportation of war materials to the Nationalist Chinese.

The Japanese, by the occupation of Amoy, already had closed the road through French Indo-China which the French used as a communication and transportation line to Chiang Kai Shek's armies. Only one road remains open: the Russian-Chinese military road through China's interior from Russian occupied territory.

Soviet Russia, fearing that Japan will reclaim the territories occupied by the Russian and Chinese Red troops, after she has conquered Chiang Kai Shek's armies — which will have been left without supplies by the temporary closing of the Burma and French Indo-China roads—was willing to co-operate with Great Britain in Asia.

Soviet Russia, it would appear, has evidently agreed to keep Europe in such a state of alarm, by the conquest of the Baltic nations and by the annexation of Bessarabia and Northern Bukhovina, that the axis powers would fear to launch their *blitzkrieg* against England.

Rome and Berlin fear that with the sovietization and annexation of Latvia, Lithuania, Esthonia and Finland, Soviet Russia will be able to attack Germany on two fronts; if Germany dispatches her armies to the Channel coast for the invasion of the British Isles.

When England is attacked, has America agreed to dispatch its fleet to the Pacific to protect the British Crown colonies from Japanese aggression?

In the past America's legislators have suspected, with reason, that America and Great Britain had a "naval agreement" wherein America undertook to protect the Pacific, if Britain would patrol the Atlantic.

When the British and French fleets were occupied in watching the German and Italian navies in the Mediterranean, the United States dispatched its fleet from the New York World's Fair to Pacific bases.

While the initial phases of the European war were in progress, America based its fleet at Hawaii and the Philippines and sent our ships on mysterious secret maneuvers in Pacific waters.

Certainly, observers see some American "agreement" to safeguard British and French possessions in the Far East; for American investments there are relatively insignificant.

Although America's statesmen and diplomatists have made pledges to keep America out of *Europe's war,* they have made no similar pledges to keep America out of *Asia's war,* which is inextricably connected with Europe's war.

The statement that American armies would not be landed on European soil does not cover the possible dispatching of the American navy and air force to Asia's ports.

Americans ought to demand a non-interventionist policy covering *both* Europe and Asia.

ZERO HOUR!

Great 'MEN AND MONEY' Contest Closes Midnight, Aug. 10

The final days of our great "MEN AND MONEY" Contest are at hand, and the sun is setting—setting—setting on your opportunity to strike for $2,500.00 in cash.

At Midnight of Saturday, August 10, this great competition will come to an end.

At that hour your chance to be listed among the 109 winners in one of the grandest educational tests ever conducted by this magazine—on the stroke of that midnight your whack at fortune in this contest will expire.

IT IS NOT YET TOO LATE FOR YOU TO ENTER—AND WIN $2,500.00!

On pages 10-11 you will find all 50 Contest questions. They are all here before you right now. No waiting. No delays.

In fact, if you are going to enter and win, you cannot delay a single day.

Yet, you have sufficient time to work out your solutions to the 50 questions.

You have ample time in which to study the questions, examine closely the suggested answers, set down what you think is the best or most appropriate answers to each, send them all in—AND WIN!

The entire editorial staff of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine act as judges of this great competition. Every answer you submit will be given careful comparison with what the judges have decided are the best or most appropriate answers. All is open and fair. Your chance to win is equal to that of anybody in the Contest.

A Grand Prize of $2,500.00; a Second Prize of $1,200.00; a Third Prize of $1,000.00; and 106 other cash awards.

During the last four years 1,201 readers have won more than $35,000.00 in cash in SOCIAL JUSTICE question-answer Contests. This could be YOUR TURN to win.

This is the Last Call. This is your final chance to enter. Now is the time for you to ACT. To delay might be a mistake.

Remember the "deadline" – Midnight of Saturday, August 10. The letter containing your answers—50 of them—and your remittance of $2.50 MUST be in the mail and post-marked at or before that hour.

This very hour go to work on the first few questions. Notice how easy it is to select what you consider the proper answer. All questions are easy—but not TOO easy. THINK! THEN SET DOWN YOUR ANSWER!

TWO THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS IN CASH CAN BE YOURS AS EASILY AS THAT OF ANY OTHER PERSON IN THE CONTEST!

THIS COUPON COULD MEAN MUCH TO YOU

"Contest Manager," SOCIAL JUSTICE, Royal Oak, Michigan

Following are my selections of answers to all 50 questions of the "MEN AND MONEY" Contest. I am enclosing $2.50, payment in full.

No. 1	For Question No. 1 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 26	For Question No. 26 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 2	For Question No. 2 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 27	For Question No. 27 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 3	For Question No. 3 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 28	For Question No. 28 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 4	For Question No. 4 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 29	For Question No. 29 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 5	For Question No. 5 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 30	For Question No. 30 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 6	For Question No. 6 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 31	For Question No. 31 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 7	For Question No. 7 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 32	For Question No. 32 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 8	For Question No. 8 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 33	For Question No. 33 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 9	For Question No. 9 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 34	For Question No. 34 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 10	For Question No. 10 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 35	For Question No. 35 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 11	For Question No. 11 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 36	For Question No. 36 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 12	For Question No. 12 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 37	For Question No. 37 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 13	For Question No. 13 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 38	For Question No. 38 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 14	For Question No. 14 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 39	For Question No. 39 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 15	For Question No. 15 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 40	For Question No. 40 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 16	For Question No. 16 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 41	For Question No. 41 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 17	For Question No. 17 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 42	For Question No. 42 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 18	For Question No. 18 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 43	For Question No. 43 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 19	For Question No. 19 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 44	For Question No. 44 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 20	For Question No. 20 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 45	For Question No. 45 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 21	For Question No. 21 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 46	For Question No. 46 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 22	For Question No. 22 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 47	For Question No. 47 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 23	For Question No. 23 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 48	For Question No. 48 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 24	For Question No. 24 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 49	For Question No. 49 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 25	For Question No. 25 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 50	For Question No. 50 I Select Answer No. ☐

MY NAME IS.....

MY ADDRESS IS.....

TOWN OR CITY.....

If entering now, fill in this coupon in full and return with $2.50. If already entered, fill in from where you left off.

TO THOSE ALREADY ENTERED

If you have been regularly sending in your weekly coupons, your set should be now complete up to and including Question No. 20. The last pair of Questions, No. 19 and No. 20, appeared in our issue of July 15.

Unless you are paid up in full, you have remitted a total of $1.00. That leaves $1.50 to be sent in to make up the total of $2.50.

All right—

Just fill in your answers to the remaining 30 questions, starting with No. 21, on the large coupon on this page, and send it in with $1.50 in coin, check or money order—not stamps. Then your set will be complete and duly qualified for the prizes.

If you entered and fell behind with your returns, just start on the large coupon where you left off — if you remember — and remit five cents for each of the remaining questions.

If you don't remember, we suggest you start all over again. Fill in your answers to the 50 questions from top to bottom and send them in with $2.50. That's the one we'll consider in your file for the prizes.

Remember—your letter containing solutions MUST be post-marked at or before Midnight, Saturday, August 10.

MAKE THAT $2,500 YOURS

GRAND PRIZE	$2,500
Second Prize	1,200
Third Prize	1,000
Fourth Prize	400
Fifth Prize	300
Sixth Prize, 4 cash awards at $25	100
Seventh Prize, 100 cash awards at $10	1,000
TOTAL	$6,500

ADDRESS CONTEST MAIL TO

'CONTEST MANAGER' SOCIAL JUSTICE

ROYAL OAK, MICHIGAN

109 MUST WIN $6,500

QUESTION No. 1

Name One Thing That Is Not a Natural Resource

1. Land.
2. Minerals.
3. Air.
4. A Federal Reserve $20-note.
5. Water.
6. Sunlight.

QUESTION No. 2

Who or What Owns the Federal Reserve Banks?

1. The United States Government.
2. Private corporations.
3. The people as a whole.
4. The United States Congress.
5. The United States Treasury Department.
6. The Bank of England.

QUESTION No. 3

What Is Meant by a Fictitious Loan?

1. A loan made for a non-productive purpose.
2. A loan which bears no interest.
3. A loan made for an illegal purpose.
4. A loan of money which does not exist in fact.
5. A loan of actual currency.
6. A loan of real wealth.

QUESTION No. 4

What Alone Should Be the Basis of a Nation's Money?

1. Gold.
2. Silver.
3. Government bonds or obligations.
4. International bank obligations.
5. Real wealth of the nation.
6. It needs no basis.

QUESTION No. 5

What Is the Chief Business of Small Banks?

1. Lending money to farmers.
2. Lending money to home-owners.
3. Lending money to merchants.
4. Buying the debt of the nation.
5. Lending money to manufacturers.
6. Lending money to foreign nations.

QUESTION No. 6

What Can Best Save Man Economically From the Machine?

1. Improved distribution of goods based upon honest money.
2. Reduction in the use of machinery.
3. Issuance of more government bonds to relieve the unemployed.
4. Reduction of the birth rate.
5. Curtailment of the use of manufactured goods.
6. Reduction of the standard of living.

QUESTION No. 7

What, According to Father Coughlin, Do Our Banks Pay the Government for Government Bonds?

1. Face value of the bonds in currency.
2. Twenty per cent of the face value of the bonds.
3. Thirty per cent of the face value of the bonds.
4. Forty per cent of the face value of the bonds.
5. Fifty per cent of the face value of the bonds.
6. Ten cents on the dollar—out of their excess reserves.

QUESTION No. 8

How Has the United States Already Helped to Finance the Allies' War?

1. By extending credit for war supplies.
2. By "cash and carry."
3. By buying gold from them at $35.00 per ounce.
4. By selling them gold.
5. By buying industrial stocks and bonds of England and France.
6. By buying English and French government bonds.

QUESTION No. 9

What Is the Main Economic Reason for Current Unemployment and Industrial Stagnation?

1. Lack of general need for consumer goods.
2. Overproduction of consumer goods and commodities.
3. Underproduction of consumer goods and commodities.
4. Excess of credit dollars stagnating in banks.
5. Excessive wages demanded for labor.
6. The European war.

QUESTION No. 10

What Prominent American Has Recently Stood "Head and Shoulders" Over All Others for National Defense and Against War Hysteria?

1. President Roosevelt.
2. Colonel Charles A. Lindbergh.
3. Bernard M. Baruch.
4. Secretary Hull.
5. Secretary Frances Perkins.
6. John L. Lewis.
7. William Green.
8. Mayor LaGuardia.

QUESTION No. 11

What Is the Basic Principle of the Current War?

1. Fascism vs. Communism
2. Communism vs. Christianity.
3. Fascism vs. Christianity.
4. Capitalism vs. anti-Capitalism.
5. Protestantism vs. Catholicism.
6. Totelitarianism vs. Democracy.
7. Tyranny vs. Freedom.
8. The "haves" vs. the "have-nots."

QUESTION No. 12

Approximately How Much Does It Cost Americans Annually in Taxation to Keep the Nation's Money in Circulation?

1. One hundred thousand dollars.
2. One million dollars.
3. One billion dollars.
4. Two million dollars.
5. Five billion dollars.
6. Five million dollars.
7. Seven hundred million dollars.
8. Eight hundred million dollars.

QUESTION No. 13

Threats to the Safety of Which Nation Most Seriously Agitate the Government of the United States?

1. Poland.
2. Great Britain.
3. Holland.
4. Switzerland.
5. Finland.
6. Czechoslovakia.
7. Belgium.
8. Norway.

QUESTION No. 14

What Is the Most Serious National Menace Now Facing America?

1. Communist propaganda.
2. The "fifth column."
3. Nazi propaganda.
4. Raising of the legal bonded Federal debt limit.
5. The coming presidential election.
6. Anti-Semitism.
7. British propaganda.
8. Burdensome taxation.

QUESTION No. 15

What Is Meant by Malthusianism?

1. The doctrine that might makes right.
2. The foundations of democracy.
3. The main forerunner of Marxian Socialism.
4. The theory that society can be saved economically by reducing the birth rate.
5. The theory that society can thrive only under capitalism.
6. The theory that power machinery used in production would solve all distribution problems.
7. The theory that power machinery used in production would increase unemployment.
8. The theory that all production and consumption of goods should be artificially controlled.

QUESTION No. 16

What Is the Principal Cause of the Growth of Industrial Monopolies in the United States Since 1900?

1. A high protective tariff on imports.
2. A policy of free trade between the United States and other nations.
3. Gradual inflation of the currency.
4. President Roosevelt's policy of devaluating the dollar.
5. Unrestricted competition.
6. Accumulation of reserve funds in banks.
7. Gradual deflation of the currency.
8. Serious lack of commercial credit for small businesses.

QUESTION No. 17

Before the Defeat of France, How Did the United States Navy Rank Among the Nations as to Size?

1. First.
2. Second.
3. Third.
4. Fourth.
5. Fifth.
6. Sixth.

QUESTION No. 18

Before the Defeat of France, How Did the United States Army Rank Among the Nations as to Size?

1. Fourth.
2. Sixth.
3. Eighth.
4. Tenth.
5. Twelfth.
6. Fifteenth.
7. Eighteenth.
8. Twentieth.

QUESTION No. 19

What Is the Real Purpose of England's War Against Germany?

1. Salvation of independence for the small nations of Europe.
2. Preservation of "democracy" in the Western World.
3. Preservation of a gold-based international money system.
4. Protection of the United States from Nazi aggression.
5. Preservation of the British Empire in the absolute status quo.
6. Preservation of Christianity in the world.
7. To cut Germany off from South American trade.
8. To aid the cause of Communism.

QUESTION No. 20

How Would You Describe the Government of England as of July 1, 1940?

1. Marxian Socialist.
2. Monarchical.
3. Democratic.
4. Fascist.
5. Republican.
6. Representative.

QUESTION No. 21

Properly Speaking, What Is the British Empire?

1. A race of people.
2. A natural combination of several races of people.
3. The world's leading Christian nation in proportion to population.
4. A voluntary association of nations united for collective security.
5. A federation of free peoples.
6. An international economic system.

QUESTION No. 22

Under What False Pretense Does Communism Seek World Control?

1. The pretense that democracy has failed.
2. The pretense that imperialism has failed.
3. The pretense that human beings do not crave personal liberty.
4. The pretense of social reform.
5. The pretense that capitalism has failed.
6. The pretense that monarchy has failed.

QUESTION No. 23

What Is the Most Serious Obstacle To a Federation of European States?

1. The United States' Monroe Doctrine.
2. Difficulty of merging several races with varied bloods and traditions.
3. Economic jealousies and ambitions of power politicians.
4. Love of European peoples for war.
5. Religious differences of European races and nationalities.
6. Such a federation would necessarily be anti-Christian and incur the opposition of the Vatican.

QUESTION No. 24

Name One Provision of the Monroe Doctrine

1. Neither Europe nor Africa is any longer open to colonization by a foreign power.
2. Neither North America nor South America is any longer barred from colonization by a foreign power.
3. The United States will henceforth go to the aid of a European victim of aggression.
4. The United States shall always maintain a democratic form of government.
5. The United States shall not interfere in the internal concerns of European powers.
6. The islands of the South Pacific Ocean must forever remain in status quo.
7. Japanese or Chinese may not become citizens of the United States.
8. Canada must not engage in foreign war.

QUESTION No. 25

Where or in Which Speech Did President Roosevelt Accuse Italy of "Stabbing France In the Back"?

1. At Chicago.
2. In Canada.
3. At Charlottesville, Va.
4. In a fireside chat.
5. At Warm Springs, Ga.
6. In his message to Congress.
7. At the New York World's Fair.
8. Before the Washington Press Club.

QUESTION No. 26

Usury Is a Violation of Which of the Ten Commandments?

1. First.
2. Second.
3. Third.
4. Fourth.
5. Fifth.
6. Sixth.
7. Seventh.
8. Eighth.
9. Ninth.
10. Tenth.

QUESTION No. 27

Why Cannot America Compete for Long With Germany in the Building of Armament?

1. America has not sufficient raw materials.
2. The American people would not stand the taxes on the necessary bonded debt.
3. The American people do not wish to arm.
4. America has not the necessary engineering brains.
5. America is unequal to Germany in potential mass production.
6. Germany owns and controls more oil than the United States.

QUESTION No. 28

Name One Law Which, If Violated, Could Most Easily Plunge the United States Into War

1. The Neutrality Act.
2. The Johnson Act.
3. The Monroe Doctrine.
4. The Hatch Act.
5. The Wages and Hours Law.
6. The Sherman Anti-Trust Law.

QUESTION No. 29

What Was Mainly Responsible for the Sudden Collapse of France?

1. She had an inferior army.
2. She had, ship for ship, an inferior navy.
3. She lacked munition factories.
4. She was at heart pro-Nazi.
5. The people had no desire whatever to go to war.
6. She was rotting from Communistic debility.
7. She could not finance even a short war.
8. She was betrayed by Great Britain.

QUESTION No. 30

What Is the Real Cause of Hatred of Germany on the Part of Western Politicians?

1. A genuine desire to perpetuate democracy.

DON'T FORGET THE FINAL HOUR—MIDNIGHT, S

Every Contest Brings Out New Winners —This Could Be Your Turn To Win

2. A desire to promote Communism.
3. Knowledge that totalitarianism is inefficient.
4. A desire to save Christianity from the ravages of totalitarianism.
5. Actual fear that Hitler will conquer the Western Hemisphere.
6. A desire to perpetuate private-controlled money based on gold.
7. Desire to avenge mistreatment of the Jews by the Nazis.
8. Fear of competition by Germany and Italy in world trade.

QUESTION No. 31

What Was the Real Source of Our Financial Collapse of 1929 and Subsequent Depression?

1. British deflation of 1920-21.
2. Inflation of the American dollar.
3. Excessive post-war bank credit in this country.
4. The Florida real estate boom.
5. The Texas oil boom.
6. The great American building boom.
7. Shortage of investment outlet for excess savings with consequent rising inventories.
8. Hysterical public stock gambling.

QUESTION No. 32

What Was the Most Powerful Impetus Towards Reconstruction Given to Post-World-War Germany?

1. The rise of Hitler.
2. The defeat of Communism by the Nazis.
3. The Dawes plan for German reparations.
4. The friendship of President Hoover and President Roosevelt.
5. A revival of a strong and united Christianity in Germany.
6. The burning of the Reichstag.
7. A loan of billions to Germany by international bankers.
8. The Hitler-Stalin pact.

QUESTION No. 33

What Was the Main Purpose of the $2-Billion Stabilization Fund?

1. To increase American exports.
2. To keep foreign currencies steadily at low levels.
3. To aid the American farmer.
4. To aid Germany.
5. To reduce our gold imports.
6. To increase employment in the United States and raise wages of workers.

QUESTION No. 34

What Is the Principal Cause of Political Centralism in the United States?

1. Desire of the people to look to Washington for relief and redress.
2. Superior brain-power of the Federal government over state governments.
3. Communism.
4. Fascism.
5. Inability of states to cope with technological progress.
6. Personality and magnanimity of President Roosevelt.

QUESTION No. 35

What Is Money?

1. It is a substance.
2. It is an invoice for real wealth.
3. It is a printed legal decree.
4. It is a sign that its owner owes wealth to the community.
5. It is a bill of exchange.
6. It is a badge of wealth.
7. It is a product of private banking concerns.
8. It is always by nature a precious metal.

QUESTION No. 36

How Do Modern Capitalism and Communism Resemble Each Other?

1. Both are manifestly opposed to Christianity.
2. Both are opposed to private ownership of property.
3. Both believe firmly in a totalitarian form of government.
4. Both believe in a just, living, annual wage for workers.
5. Both believe in strong, responsible, independent craft unionism.
6. Both believe in liberty of the individual.

QUESTION No. 37

Why Principally Has John L. Lewis Turned His Back On the New Deal?

1. He dislikes the National Labor Relations Board.
2. He abhors all Communists.
3. He believes the New Deal to be extravagant.
4. He is opposed to a third term for the President.
5. He believes the New Deal fosters warmongering.
6. He thinks William Green is a New Dealer.

QUESTION No. 38

Select One Correct Statement Regarding the Relationship Between Money and Wealth

1. When the dollar is devaluated prices rise.
2. When money is scarce prices rise.
3. When money is plentiful prices decline.
4. When currency is deflated prices rise.
5. When currency is inflated prices drop.
6. When there is excessive bank credit prices decline.

QUESTION No. 39

Name One Variety of Non-Productive Loan

1. A loan to James A. Farley to buy the New York Yankee baseball club.
2. A loan to a buy a threshing machine.
3. A loan to a concern to manufacture bombing planes for England.
4. A loan to a man wishing to open a pawnbroker's shop.
5. A loan to a farmer to help him plow under a hailed-out crop.
6. A loan to a boy to help him through college.
7. A loan to a group to finance killing of excess wild game.
8. A loan to finance the raising of racehorses.

QUESTION No. 40

In What Position Is a Bank When Holding Funds of a Depositor?

1. It is a trustee for the money.
2. It is a creditor of the depositor.
3. It is a partner of the depositor to the extent of the money deposited.
4. It has an equity in the money deposited.
5. It is a debtor of the depositor.
6. It is a bailee of the money for the benefit of the bank only.

QUESTION No. 41

What Is the Main Purpose of the Proposed $2-Billion North-South American Trade Cartel?

1. Promotion of the "good neighbor" policy to perpetuate freedom and democracy in the New World.
2. To avert a Nazi invasion of the United States.
3. To avert a Nazi invasion of South America.
4. Establishment of gold imperialism in the New World.
5. Encouragement of trade between Germany, Italy and Japan on one side and the United States on the other side.
6. Stabilization of United States currency with the silver currencies of South America.
7. Establishment of silver imperialism in the New World.
8. Establishment of bimettalic imperialism in the New World.

QUESTION No. 42

What Is the Most Serious Assistance Needed Today by American Farmers?

1. Steadily rising prices for farm produce.
2. Greater government subsidies.
3. A government-founded bank for agricultural credit only.
4. Crop curtailment.
5. Cheaper crop insurance.
6. Increase of foreign markets for farm produce.
7. Diversification of crops.
8. A moratorium on all farm mortgages.

QUESTION No. 43

According to Experts, How Much Depreciation in Government Bonds Would Jeopardize the Banks of This Country?

1. Three per cent.
2. Four per cent.
3. Five per cent.
4. Six per cent.
5. Seven per cent.
6. Ten per cent.

QUESTION No. 44

What Is Principally Responsible for the Advance of Communism in the United States?

1. The rise of Fascism.
2. Recognition by the United States of the Soviet government.
3. Pro-British propaganda.
4. Anti-German propaganda.
5. Pro-German propaganda.
6. Radical labor leadership.
7. Decline of Christianity.
8. Excessive unemployment.

QUESTION No. 45

Which Is the Greatest Power Conferred Upon Congress by the Constitution?

1. The power to tax.
2. The power to coin money.
3. The power to pass laws over executive veto.
4. The power to make treaties with foreign nations.
5. The power to regulate money and its value.
6. The power over interstate commerce.
7. The power to impeach officers of the United States.
8. The power to control and regulate the mails.

QUESTION No. 46

What Is the Main Difference Between the Guilds of the Middle Ages and Present-Day Labor Unions?

1. The Guilds developed apprentices and labor unions do not.
2. Labor unions have achieved a living, annual wage; the Guilds did not.
3. The Guilds applied religious principles to their organizations; labor unions do not.
4. Labor unions of today emphasize an 8-hour day; the Guilds did not.
5. Guilds always insisted on a division of labor by crafts; labor unions never have.
6. Labor unions regulate prices of their products; the Guilds never did.

QUESTION No. 47

Who or What Should Be the Natural, Constitutional Creditor of the Government When Borrowing Money?

1. The people as a whole.
2. The national banks as at present existent.
3. The Federal Reserve Banks as at present existent.
4. Large industrialists.
5. Foreign governments.
6. Capitalists.
7. It should have no creditors but should coin money as rapidly as it needs it.

QUESTION No. 48

What Is the Principal Reason for the Continued Success of Naziism in Germany?

1. Its espousal of Christianity as a motivating force.
2. Its theory that every German works for the benefit of the German people as a whole.
3. Its relief of unemployment.
4. Its stabilization of the mark under German control.
5. Its persecution of the Jews.
6. Its opposition to Communism.
7. Compulsory military training.
8. The Hitler Youth Movement.

QUESTION No. 49

What Is Chiefly Responsible for the Spread of Anti-Semitism in the United States?

1. Nazi propaganda.
2. A growing opposition to Great Britain.
3. The New Deal.
4. The national drive against anti-Semitism.
5. The Christian Front trial.
6. Opposition to Jewry by the Catholic Hierarchy.
7. Radio programs and anti-German propaganda.
8. Salacious literature, motion pictures and stage plays.

QUESTION No. 50

Select One True Statement Concerning "Regulation" and "Stabilization" of Money

1. To "regulate" money means to "peg" its value in terms of foreign currencies, the practice of international bankers.
2. To "stabilize" money means scientifically to adjust ratios between international exchange values.
3. To "regulate" money means to apportion its issuance to national wealth and international exchange.
4. To "stabilize" money means to apportion its issuance to national wealth and international exchange.
5. "Regulation" of money is essentially and by nature the function of international bankers.
6. "Stabilization" of money is essentially and by nature the function of the United States Government.

INSTRUCTIONS TO CONTESTANTS

1. Study the questions. Mark your selection of the best or most appropriate answers in the little squares on the Composite Coupon. Mark them by their numbers as lined up under the respective questions.

2. Each question pertains to the money principles of Father Coughlin as stated in his books, over the radio and in SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine, or to the activities of leading men and the effects of their actions on current events as reported in SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine.

3. One out of the list of suggested answers under each question will be the best or most appropriate based upon the pronouncements of Father Coughlin or this National Weekly. It is your job as Contestant to try to select that particular answer.

4. In order to qualify as a prize-winner, each contestant is required to remit $2.50 for each complete set of solutions submitted. For this remittance the Contestant shall have sent without further cost two copies of Father Coughlin's radio lecture books for each set of duly qualified answers submitted.

5. If you run more than one set of answers, be sure to mark your sets "Set 1," "Set 2," "Set 3," etc. Each set stands alone in the judging. Coupons are NOT taken from one set to fill in bad "holes" in another set.

6. Keep in mind the last hour—Midnight, Saturday, August 10.

SATURDAY, AUGUST 10

NOTICE

An Extra Composite Coupon Will Be Found on Page 18

The New Draft Bill

THE NEW draft bill is an old draft bill, believe it or not.

It was patterned after war-maker Woodrow Wilson's 1917-18 bill.

The *New York Herald Tribune* is responsible for bringing this idea to the public.

In a recent article this newspaper said:

"The two bills, both in the manner in which they were presented and in many of their provisions, are strikingly similar. The exemptions are virtually the same, in some cases using identical phraseology, and the main difference is that the *Burke bill*, if passed, would make men up to the age of 65 eligible for service, either in the Regular Army or in the home defense. On September 12, 1918, the last draft registration, men beyond 45 were not required to register.

Roosevelt's Words Repeat Wilson's

"Although President Roosevelt did not propose the *Burke-Wadsworth bill*, he said in his speech that 'today all private plans, all private lives have been in a sense repealed by an overriding public danger. In the face of that public danger all those who can be of service to the Republic have no choice but to offer themselves for service in those capacities for which they may be fitted.'

"When President Woodrow Wilson signed the selective conscription law on May 18, 1917, he said: 'Our people must draw close in one compact front against a common foe. But this cannot be if each man pursues a private purpose. All must pursue one purpose. The nation needs all men, but it needs each man, not in the field that will most pleasure him but in the endeavor that will best serve the common good.'

"Since the United States was at war when President Wilson signed the law, he used the word 'foe' where President Roosevelt used 'danger.'

"In all, 23,683,513 men between the ages of 18 and 45 registered for service from June 5, 1917, to September 12, 1918. It has been estimated that about 23-million men would be required to register under the *Burke-Wadsworth bill*, those from 21 to 45 for active service in the military forces and those from 18 to 21 and 45 to 65 for home defense units. The fact that this bill, although with a twenty-year wider age scale, would raise about the same number of men as the 1917 law can be explained by its requirement that all men register at once. Under the selective conscription law, 902,828 men were added whose twenty-first birthdays came after the first registration and before the eighteen-year limit was adopted.

Bill Will Raise Even More Men

"Naturally, the *Burke-Wadsworth bill*, which proposes to remain in force until May 15, 1945, would raise many more over a period of time than did the World War draft, but they would be trained for only eight months, whereas the men drafted in 1917 and 1918 were compelled to remain in service until the end of the war.

"President Wilson proposed the *Selective Conscription Law* in his message to Congress on April 2, 1917, shortly before the declaration of war. After considerable debate it was passed and signed by the President on May 18, and June 5 was set as the day when all men between 21 and 30 should register. Toward this end, local boards were set up in every state, one for every 30,000 population, and these boards, run by civilians chosen by the President, listed the qualifications of each registrant and heard whatever pleas he made for exemption. Further boards were established in each Federal judicial district to review any disputes of the decisions of the local boards, and their decisions were final unless changed by the President.

"On June 5 and in that week, 9,780,685 men registered and received their registration numbers, and these numbers were sent to Washington, where the first drawing was made on July 20. There were 667,000 men called for service in this first draft and the remainder were classified into five divisions, depending on their eligibility. On May 30, 1918, 744,865 men who had become 21 since June 5 registered, and on August 24 another 157,963 men whose twenty-first birthdays had come since May 30, signed for possible military service.

"Finally, on September 12, it was ordered that all men from 18 to 45 who had not previously registered should appear before their local boards and this move gained 13-million new recruits. From the more than 23-million who registered, the President was authorized by the law to select 1-million for active service.

Local Draft Board Set-Up Already Familiar

"Under the *Burke-Wadsworth bill*, the President would be authorized to establish local boards, including the boards of appeal, to which the registrants would present their qualifications in the World War days, and the men would be selected for service by drawing lots, presumably numbered lots as in 1917. The exemptions from this and the World War service are practically the same: United States Government and various state government officials, persons who are in an industry, including agriculture, which is deemed necessary for national well-being; persons whose dependents make their presence at home essential and members of recognized religious sects which forbid any participation in war. But, as in 1917, the President may call any of these persons for a service which he deems to be non-combatant. Members of the clergy and students at theological schools are also exempt from the draft, as are students at military academies who will later serve as officers.

"In other respects than the age limits, the *Burke-Wadsworth bill* is broader in its scope than the selective conscription law. Under the 1917 law, for instance, the President was authorized to raise and equip two forces of 500,000 men each; the *Burke-Wadsworth bill* sets no limit to the number of men drafted for training, but provides that quotas for each state will be determined later. Under the World War draft law, any one who aided a person to evade the act or was convicted of any malfeasance in office could be imprisoned for no more than a year or court-martialed if his office fell within Army jurisdiction. Under the *Burke-Wadsworth bill* any one similarly convicted may be court-martialed or jailed for not more than five years, with an alternative of a $10,000 maximum fine.

"In spite of minor differences, however, the two laws remain the same in their purpose and their method of procedure; the President is in complete charge of setting up a selective service board which takes men by lot from all over the country for service in the national defense system."

* * *

Same Policy-Makers Direct the Action

It is evident that the same policy-makers who dominated Woodrow Wilson have harnessed the mind of Franklin D. Roosevelt.

Mr. or Mrs. or Miss Reader: What do you plan to do about this militarizing of America?

What do you plan to do about this draft bill that is now proposed?

Senators Burton Wheeler and Arthur Vandenberg are planning to fight it.

Many newspapers are planning to fight it.

Every American who loves peace and hates war; who loves justice and hates iniquity; who loves the *Constitution* and hates dictatorship — he or she should plan to fight it.

Our nation is in a state of hysteria.

Our nation is in a state of befuddlement.

There *is* danger of a Nazi invasion overshadowing America.

But that danger does not lie in the direction of German troops landing on our shores, of German airplanes bombing our cities.

All that is poppy-cock.

The real danger is that the internationalists and gold hoarders whose European and Asiatic gains have been spoiled with the defeat of British imperialism, are now confined within the narrow sphere of North America.

True, they are attempting to hornswaggle the South American Republics.

They are trying to persuade them, although they have no gold, to join up with the internationalists of Washington and Wall Street in a gold empire. In this they will fail.

But they are using all types of propaganda, of fear psychology and of advertising to keep America on the gold and credit standard even at the cost of placing the youth of this nation on the blood standard.

* * *

Our present economic system, as it has existed before Roosevelt and as it does exist under Roosevelt, is a catastrophe-breeder.

It exploits the poor. It holds 10-million able men unemployed.

It disparages the farmer.

It confiscates wealth through taxation.

It breeds class hatred.

It is incompetent to distribute wealth equitably.

Above all, we repeat the words that the gold standard is degenerating into the blood standard.

Can't we have prosperity, peace and contentment without destroying our liberties, militarizing our youth and prostrating our nation at the feet of the war-mongering gold internationalists?

What do you plan to do about it?

You can let the hot weather lull you into apathy.

Or else, you can write letters and send telegrams and exert yourselves to oppose the regimentation of America's youth.

Comment

Modern Pilgrims

A LITTLE over three hundred years ago when the Pilgrims, fleeing religious persecution in Europe, landed at Plymouth Rock, the first thing they did was to get down on their knees and offer Thanksgiving to God.

A few weeks ago 160 passengers, mostly Jewish refugees, arrived in Boston on the British steamer *Newfoundland*. Instead of praying they shouted: *"Hurrah! Now we are saved. Stalin is our saviour!"*

Such is the report of the disembarkation as given on the front page of a recent issue of the *Communist Daily Worker*.

The jubilation was caused by a false news dispatch that Soviet Russia had declared war on Germany. The *Daily Worker* related that as soon as the report was found to be false the cheers for Stalin stopped.

Since Communist newspapers are not noted for accuracy, this *Daily Worker* "scoop" may well have been distorted.

After the Nazi-Soviet pact, after the Bolsheviks' relentless purge of all religion in Russia for more than 20 years, it is hardly conceivable that the Jews can retain any faith in the professional Moscow murderers.

That some professedly Jewish elements still have faith in Soviet Russia, however, becomes apparent when one glances at the roster of the chieftains of the Communist Party, U.S.A.

It is up to God-fearing American Jewish leadership again to gather together those of their flock who have strayed off to sacrifice to the Red Calf of Communism.

Christian leaders who attempt it are so often branded as anti-Semites.

Jewish religionists should have an easier task than the Christian leadership.

Many Christians are now to be found both in the ranks of those who hail the Swastika and those who salute the Hammer and Sickle.

Apostate Jews, on the other hand, are seldom found in Hitler's camp. Their shepherds need only to look for them in the quicksands of atheistic Marxism.

' ' '

Soviet New York

THE FATE of France gives Americans cause for reflection.

Not because it should slow up any of our activities, or frighten us; but because it should point out a fundamental weakness in our national defense.

America has become almost as supinely indifferent to the clandestine workings of Communists within our borders as was France.

These rodents have been busy burrowing to undermine America's defenses, and not even secretly. They are actually out in the open—blatant and boasting.

They destroyed France by electing Leon Blum in one of the most crucial eras of France's history. The Blum government nationalized munition and airplane companies, established a Popular Front government, aided the Spanish Loyalists and gave radical French workingmen's unions dictatorial control over all production.

There are enough "Blums" in this country to give us serious concern. Even with our eyes wide open, the Reds and radicals are working their destruction of America and American institutions.

Many of the alien refugees who have been pouring into this country, through every port and over the borders, are decidedly Communist, and have been welcomed into the fold of Communist comraderie as soon as they arrived in this country.

Many of these exiles were notorious Communists in Italy, Germany, Austria, Checkia and elsewhere, who apparently fled before "fascist" fumigation squads. Actually, they had acted as fifth columnists for a most un-American system of government.

It is too bad that the rest of the country cannot have a good look at some of the May Day parades that march in New York City; or listen to the hate-spreading and subversive harangues delivered on almost every street corner; or receive some of the poisonous and pernicious publications handed to passersby on the streets of the nation's largest metropolis.

If the rest of the citizens of this nation—and they number scores of millions—could only see these street scenes of sovietized New York, there would be a rude awakening in America.

But they have not seen, and they do not believe—yet.

It will take a good jolt to waken heedless Americans from the stupor of liberalism and radicalism.

Let us hope that it will not come too late to save America from her enemies.

' ' '

Why the Big Army?

MODERN WARFARE is not waged by great movements of massed infantry troops; modern war—*blitzkrieg*—is overpowering mechanical force. It is airplanes and bombs, machine guns and tanks.

"Not marching foot soldiers with rifles, but mechanics riding behind armor plate in swift - moving, death - spreading vehicles swept through France to the Channel and created the disastrous trap in Flanders," says the *St. Louis Post-Dispatch*. "The very masses of Allied armies caught in Hitler's grip of iron were a hindrance rather than a help in the defense and the evacuation.

". . . If the consensus of army chiefs is for some form of selective draft, to insure that the relatively small mechanized defense force will have the essential skilled personnel, the country will accept the verdict cheerfully," says this writer.

"The spectacle of great numbers of men in training and under arms, would inevitably produce an utterly baseless feeling of safety," the editorial warns.

The recruits which the pending *Burke-Wadsworth Bill* would provide would create a great citizen army and, perhaps, this very false sense of security.

A two-ocean sea control by a strong navy, and an adequate defensive air force, are the immediate modern need. Is not this recruiting of vast numbers of men — or rather the regimentation of vast numbers in the guise of recruiting—a thinking backward to conditions in a World War era, instead of looking forward to factualities?

' ' '

Synthetic Rubber

EDWARD R. STETTINIUS JR. recently gave assurances that the United States was becoming independent of foreign sources for certain supplies.

Among the supplies is rubber.

As you know, the United States is not a rubber-producing country.

Nevertheless, it is the largest rubber-consuming country in the world.

Mr. Stettinius takes for granted that we will not be able to import rubber in such quantities in the future as we did in the past. The French and British monopolized the rubber control which, likely, will fall under German economy.

The point is this: Although we have ridiculed Germany for its *"ersatz"* (which is the German word for "substitute"), we are about to become an *"ersatz"* nation ourselves in some respects.

We can manufacture a substitute for rubber.

Henry Ford took the lowly soy bean and trans-substantiated it into a hundred different materials for industrial use, including paint.

The du Pont industry is now about to produce silk hosiery and underwear made from glass.

The Ford Corporation and others are experimenting on the rubber substitute.

Although these substitutes will be costlier in the beginning, due to plant construction and experimentation, nevertheless, 10 years hence our women will be arrayed with finer hose than those produced by the Japanese silk merchants; our cars will be tired with better material than the rubber which we use today.

Necessity is the mother of invention.

As yet, we have but scratched the surface of the fecund supplies here in America.

Men like Mr. Stettinius and Knudsen are the proper type of men to direct our progress from want in the midst of plenty.

While we are speaking of *"ersatz"* and substitutes, it is high time that we use men like Stettinius, substituting them for the old-fashioned politicians.

' ' '

Monetary Reform

"Resolved: That a joint committee of both Houses of Congress be appointed to formulate a plan to be presented to Congress for the future regulation of money."

THUS, in a familiar phase, the question of honest money reform again comes before the Congress. The Resolution (*S. J. Res.188 and H.R. 391*) was introduced "in the hope that those who believe a change in our monetary system is necessary to our national welfare will forget their differences on the best way to do it and unite in an effort to pass this Resolution as the first step."

Failure of the monetary reformers to make common cause is counted on by the internationalists to maintain their present "racket."

On the point that Congress under the *Constitution* has the sole power to issue money and regulate its value, there is general agreement. On the method to achieve this restoration of Congress' authority there is wide dissension. Each group clings jealously to its own pet panacea. Bills which attempt a "least common multiple" or "greatest common divisor" on the money reform question are almost universally opposed.

Former Congressman Binderup started out on the theory that he would cherish no "pet" plan but would take the "best features of all the plans" and by compromise and practical debate evolve a workable money bill. Congressman Binderup, we recall, was so successful with his "money school for Congressmen" that the internationalists saw to it that he did not get back to Congress.

The pet plans of the money correctors are so numerous and varied that the Honest Money Foundation has been able to bring out a quite sizeable directory of the monetary experts.

The man who can get all these reformers to perform under one tent will be, as the saying is, a "whiz"!

Fifth Column Discovered!

A DELIBERATE ATTEMPT to destroy American independence and return our country to the bondage of the British Empire was launched boldly and defiantly in New York some days ago, as local authorities and the F.B.I. calmly looked the other way.

In a series of full-page newspaper advertisements appearing throughout the nation, the organization known as *"Union Now"* brazenly proposed that the United States lay down its hard-won, 150-year-old sovereignty, form an indissoluble alliance with Great Britain, and enter the present war of destruction now going on in Europe.

Heavily financed by Jewish, British and Anglophile bankers, who are trying to recover their lost world money power, *Union Now* has the audacity to call upon the Congress of the United States to give up its powers to "levy war, conclude peace, contract alliances, establish commerce," and all the other privileges gained in the long battle for American freedom, and vest them in a so-called *"Inter-Continental Congress."*

Delegates to this international body are to be elected, in the words of the advertisement, "by the U.S.A. and the Six British Democracies."

Nowhere in the proposal is to be found the words "British Empire."

Typical of the malignant hypocrisy of *Union Now's* advertisement is that Ireland is listed as one of these six "British Democracies."

The others are the United Kingdom, Canada, Australia, Union of South Africa and New Zealand.

The treacherous part of the proposal is that America is called upon to enter this "Union" now—at once—while Great Britain is at war; in order that millions of American young men can be transported overseas and slaughtered on foreign battlefields.

* * *

The argument used in favor of the "Union" is the old interventionist appeal: "The world is again engaged in a great struggle—a struggle between the free way and the slave way."

Great Britain, of course, which maintains history's greatest tyranny over 500-million Asiatics and Africans, is described as the upholder of the "free way."

It is also stated that the British navy is our main defense against Hitler, and that if Britain is defeated we in America perish.

Since the United States as a nation would be extinct the moment we entered into any such proposed Inter-Continental Congress, these *Union Now* enthusiasts, obviously, do not care if we perish or not.

* * *

The only semblance of advantage offered the United States in the advocated World Federation is that we might have 27 delegates as against the United Kingdom's 11, Canada's 3, Australia's 3, and two each for Ireland, New Zealand and the Union of South Africa.

But there is no explanation as to how the delegates would be elected; and, since any representative from the United States serving on such a body would *ipso facto* be a traitor, real Americans would not be represented at all.

As if in deliberate mockery of America's great *Declaration of Independence*, that document is paraphrased in the advertisement to serve as the legal means by which the United States is to cancel out its independence and to return to the slavish fold of the British Empire.

Beginning with the hallowed words, "When in the course of human events," the proposed "Declaration of *Inter*dependence" proceeds to this traitorous sentence:

"We, therefore, the representatives of the Union of the Free . . . intend to hold a Constitutional convention at the earliest practical time to establish . . . a World Government of, by and for the people, a Federal Union on the broad lines of the American *Constitution*, open not only to all other democracies—notably those now enslaved or imprisoned, when they are freed—but even to the peoples now attacking the free when they have overthrown their dictators and satisfactorily established their rights as free men."

* * *

Thus, 200-million Americans and British subjects are to form a "World" government and wait for the other 1,800-million inhabitants of the earth to become "free" and join it.

In the meantime, these 200-million are to war against Germany, Italy, and their future allies, in order to bring them the blessings of "freedom."

If Soviet Russia, or China, or Japan, or Afghanistan, should declare war against Britain, then the 200-million must take up the battle against these countries.

Two News Items

ACCORDING to an Associated Press dispatch from Montreal (dated July 2), a United States board of inquiry ruled that Philip Stegerer, 26, of Washington, had forfeited his United States citizenship by joining the Canadian Active Service Force. The board refused him permission to return to his native country.

"If I want to get back to the United States," Stegerer said, "I'll have to go through the formalities that any immigrant faces. And we've been residents of the United States since before the Revolutionary War when two of my ancestors were Army leaders under Washington! I come to Canada to fight for democracy and I wind up a guy without a country, without a job and without a dime!"

Another Associated Press dispatch from New York City (July 2)—same date, same newspaper, reads as follows:

"Mrs. Kermit Roosevelt — whose husband is a major in the British army —urged the United States today to transfer American torpedo boats to the British flag and termed existing law which banned it 'outmoded legislation' . . .

"She recently returned from England where her husband, a son of the late President Theodore Roosevelt, is serving in a Middlesex regiment. *He still is an American citizen . . .*"

"What goes on here?" you ask.

Youthful volunteers for Canadian service lose their American citizenship; but a Roosevelt, who is a major in the British Army, is still a citizen!

As Will Rogers used to say, "all I know is what I read in the papers." And that's it: Associated Press, July 2 —same newspaper, same day!

Thus, destructive, devastating, total war would be spread to every corner of the earth.

Britain, which recently announced that she would have to keep virtually all her army at home for defense, would be able to lend but little manpower to this universal Armageddon.

American youths would, therefore, have to take the lion's share of the dying.

If, by any chance, the war of the world should be won by these 200-million Americans and English, we are led to suppose that the World Government would automatically flourish and grow and the peace of Mr. Streit and his Unionists would come upon all the earth.

There is no guaranty, however, that Great Britain, once America had won this war for her, would not return to her old ways of perfidy and world-wide exploitation.

* * *

Clarence Streit, the ostensible originator of the *Union Now* idea, doesn't seem to be worried about the future. As the hysterical tone of his advertisement indicates, his main concern is with the immediate salvation of Britain and the hard-pressed British money power.

A former reporter at Geneva for the pro-British *New York Times*, Mr. Streit was formerly a booster for the League of Nations.

After watching that body lead Europe to newer and bloodier wars, and after losing his job, he got the idea that a new League should be formed.

Since this plan fitted in perfectly with the schemes of British propagandists and international bankers everywhere, Mr. Streit now has a large suite of offices in New York City and boasts that "his" organization has members in each of the 48 American States.

Here is the Fifth Column in all its brazen effrontery — unafraid, unabashed and undisguised!

While F.B.I. agents scurry about arresting or questioning innocent Americans for expressing anti-British sentiments, the real enemy in our midst can preach its appeals for the destruction of the American nation in full-page newspaper advertisements!

* * *

Flying for Britain

EVERY DAY brings the re-enactment of events which plunged this country into the first World War.

The latest of these events is the invitation for young Americans to enlist in the R.A.F. of England and Canada.

American flyers are attracted by the handsome pay offered to foreign airmen enlisting in the Royal Air Force, hence many American aviators, flying American-made planes to Canada for delivery to England, have stayed with their planes to enlist for overseas service.

America did not protest this action of Great Britain, which, it seems to this commentator, constitutes a breach of neutrality and a serious danger to America's own aerial defense.

America, like England, needs trained pilots, observers, mechanics and ground men, but Americans volunteering for foreign service are seriously endangering America's attempt to establish an adequate air force of our own.

American *escadrilles* will soon be flying for Great Britain—flying American planes, equipped with American secret aeronautical combat armament.

At the present time, more than 1,500 American planes are said to be in the R.A.F. and are giving splendid account of themselves. Markedly superior, we are told, to Europe's air armadas.

Comment

The Hitler Stoppers

MILLIONS of Americans (on June 10, 1940) read another hysterical, war-mongering *"Stop Hitler"* advertisement, which occupied a full page in various metropolitan newspapers throughout the nation.

According to the advertisement it was paid for by "a number of patriotic American citizens who believe in all seriousness and sincerity that the safety of our country, the whole future of our national faith, is gravely threatened by the world revolution of Hitlerism."

No doubt many propaganda-wary readers wondered just who these "patriotic American citizens" were.

Senator Rush D. Holt of West Virginia, one of the Senate's most vigorous foes of American war intervention, has told us the names of some.

On the floor of the Senate he revealed that those "American patriots" were composed of international bankers and their wives, international lawyers, directors of British corporations, directors of American corporations manufacturing war materials, two directors of the Columbia Broadcasting System, and several persons prominent in Hollywood's Leftist movie colony of internationalists.

A partial list of them follows:

Frederick Warburg, partner of Kuhn, Loeb and Company;

Thomas W. Lamont, director of J. P. Morgan and Company, former purchasing agents for Great Britain;

Cornelius D. Whitney;

Joseph Thomas of Lehman Brothers, international bankers;

Mrs. Daniel Guggenheim, wife of the copper magnate;

Mrs. John Schiff, wife of the international banker, John Schiff, a partner of Kuhn, Loeb and Company;

Mrs. Averell Harriman, wife of the industrialist;

Mrs. H. P. Davison, whose husband is a prominent international banker;

I. D. Levy, a director of the Columbia Broadcasting System;

Jerome H. Louchheim, bank director and also a director of C.B.S.;

Henry Luce, editor of *Time* magazine;

Samuel Goldwyn, of Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer, movie firm;

Maxwell Anderson and Robert Sherwood, writers;

Irving Berlin, song writer;

Lynn Fontanne and Myrna Loy, actresses;

Paul Muni, Alfred Lunt, Fred Astaire and Douglas Fairbanks, Jr., actors.

The jingoistic harangue to which this group subscribed and which it helped finance, called for intensified aid to the Allies and urged America to "cast off the hypocritical mask of neutrality."

It was lauded by President Roosevelt as a great piece of educational work!

* * *

"You find it is not the little fellows who paid for this advertisement." Senator Holt declared. "You find in that list the directors of international banks. You will find directors of corporations of Great Britain and the British Empire. No wonder they are interested! But they make it appear they are doing so for America.

"We find bondholders of foreign countries that are so involved.

"We find international lawyers who have business in the countries now involved in war.

"We find directors of steel companies that are selling steel in this war.

"We find directors of manganese corporations.

"We find directors of mechanical-equipment corporations that make equipment for warring nations.

We find directors of oil companies . . .

"We find a director of a celluloid company.

"We find directors of metal companies.

"We find directors of electric companies, directors of steamship lines and others interested in aircraft corporations.

"We find these directors and their families paying for the advertisement which the President said was great work."

Holt then attacked Editor Luce of *Time* magazine, inferring that *Time* and *Life* are co-operating with international bankers in inoculating the nation with war germs.

"The other day, Henry Luce, editor of *Time* magazine, was on the air," Holt began. "It is stated he started his magazine program after he had secured a loan from Lamont, of Morgan and Company, and others. Luce says that we should go further into the war. He helped pay for the *'Stop Hitler'* advertisement. Perhaps that will explain why *Life* magazine tries to show the country that we are in danger of invasion. Week before last *Life* magazine had the Germans over here already. I wonder if Mr. Luce's interest in this particular group with the international bankers has anything to do with the attitude of the magazine."

Parading under the banner of *"The Committee to Defend America by Aiding the Allies,"* ostensibly headed by William Allen White, this group has been working feverishly at the task of drumming up sentiment for America's entrance in the European war.

Rather than urging calm preparedness for any eventuality—including a war of invasion, which is not yet threatened—they propose to invite war.

On the basis of the facts submitted by Senator Holt and others, SOCIAL JUSTICE suspects that at least some of these propagandists urge all aid to Great Britain, not out of patriotic American zeal, but out of natural motives of self-interest. They have something at stake in Europe and in the war. Therefore, they are willing to risk America's peace for the preservation of their private property or profits and for the salvation of the British Empire.

No doubt there are others co-operating with the committee who do not fall into this category. They honestly believe the welfare of America depends upon the welfare of the British Empire. In most cases, we dare say, they have been led to so believe by just such propaganda as dished out by the "Committee to Defend America by Aiding the Allies."

". . . When the Senate knows the full and complete list of individuals who helped to pay for the *'Stop Hitler'* advertisement," concluded Senator Holt, "it will realize that the project is backed and permeated from one end to the other by international financiers. Their interest in stopping Hitler is that they want America—American boys—to protect and expand their investments. They want American boys to do the work. This propaganda was promoted by the President's own support of this advertisement from the White House press conference. It is backed by men who made millions out of the last war, men who were never neutral in the last war, and are not neutral in this one."

* * *

'Il Luce' Pays Off

IN 1923, a young Yale graduate by the name of Henry Robinson Luce borrowed $86,000—mostly from the Morgan partners, Dwight Morrow and Henry P. Davison—and founded a strange new magazine which he called *Time*.

Today, 17 years later, Mr. Luce has become known as "Il Luce" of Rockefeller Center, New York, the highly successful millionaire publisher not only of *Time*, but of *Life* and *Fortune* magazines.

The $86,000 has been returned to bankers Morrow, Davison and their heirs, in the form of very valuable stock certificates and luscious yearly dividends.

Mr. Luce's debt of gratitude to the House of Morgan, however, was not fully paid off until the appearance of a recent issue of the illustrated weekly, *Life*.

Therein, the be-propagandized American reader will find as clever a plan to involve America in Britain's European war as was ever concocted by the money lenders who control our country's public opinion.

The *Life* feature was entitled, "Should the U.S. Help Britain With Destroyers?"

Note the typical subtlety of the question mark.

The appeal doesn't say that America *should* help Great Britain with destroyers; it gives a list of reasons both pro and con the recent request of the British Admiralty to purchase 35 American destroyers, using cogent points to support the affirmative and flimsy points to support the negative.

The argument is topped off by the flat statement that *"the U.S. is open to certain and swift destruction if the British navy falls into the hands of Adolf Hitler."*

Accepting this nicely garnished dish of hypothesis as fact, readers must of necessity come to a logical conclusion: namely, that the United States should sell its destroyers to Great Britain.

This writer doesn't believe, for one thing, that Germany will get the British navy. Hitler did not get the French fleet. Furthermore, we do not think either that the loss of the "King's navee" means the destruction of the United States.

But if *Life* magazine does believe this, its patriotism is certainly dubious if it is content to part with a mere 35 destroyers to save America from annihilation.

Time, Inc., is a huge publishing enterprise and it is no easy task to mold the minds of some 700,000 *Time* readers, 2-million *Life* readers, 200,000 *Fortune* readers and a reputed 2-million "March of Time" onlookers.

That this gigantic empire of the spoken and printed word needs supervision is attested by the fact that last year *Time's* large coterie of Communist and pro-Communist editors managed to slip in so much anti-Christian propaganda that Mr. Luce was rebuked by the Knights of Columbus.

All of which, presumably, came as a severe shock to "Il Luce," who was born in Tengchowfu, China, the son of humble Presbyterian missionaries.

Editor Luce has traveled a long way from Tengchowfu, both spiritually and financially.

Letters

(SOCIAL JUSTICE aims to make this page a free forum and readers' opinions are invited. Naturally, the views expressed are not always those of this magazine. We cannot guarantee that contributions sent to SOCIAL JUSTICE can be returned to the writers. Letters not signed with the writer's name and address cannot be considered, although the name will not necessarily be used. We reserve the right to publish all letters received unless contrary instruction is specifically given.)

Facing Realities

TO THE EDITOR:

The Committee to Defend America by Helping the Allies seems to be laboring under two capital hallucinations; namely, that the civil rights of America and the social happiness of the western world is more or less intimately dependent upon the *status quo* in the English and French empires and that a German and Italian victory, and consequent sharing of territorial spoils, will upset the equilibrium of the world.

My answer to this assumption is that history has seen far vaster changes and conquered nations have adjusted themselves to other patterns of living. Conquered nations have a silent hidden way of resisting undue encroachments and of making passive advances by force of ideas and ideals.

Hitlerism can fall almost as speedily as it rose to power. I doubt whether it will thrive after it has gained its zenith. It arose in an atmosphere of supreme effort against the specters of imposed starvation and threatened annihilation and it still grows on this threat and hidden fear. It thrives on the silly drives against Hitler in foreign countries. It will die when it becomes overconfident at home or generally ruthless abroad. Or it might also die out if and when Germany is treated like an equal among the nations.

The German people must become rationally confident of themselves before they will throw off the Hitlerian yoke and discard the Hitler philosophy.

These things are no more impossible than a Christian renewal in England and France.

Both might come sooner than expected.

But they will not come except by clear thinking and disciplined living.

—**Rev. Albert F. Kaiser**
Cleveland, Ohio

Christian Mother's View

TO THE EDITOR:

Since President Roosevelt's proposed compulsory youth program, which was issued under the disguise of a military training program. I have been watching very closely for a protest from some American Christian mothers and today, I was very happy to read such a protest in SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine.

In my opinion, military training is one thing—compulsory herding of all young people into Government service camps is quite another.

I would agree that military training is an excellent schooling for any able-bodied young man and from the standpoint of the nation, a trained and disciplined army of young men is one of the best safeguards any nation can have, but compulsory Government service with all young people, boys and girls alike, herded into Government regulated camps is something entirely different.

If these camps are to be under the leadership of Sidney Hillman, one who was selected by the President upon the preparedness board, I do not believe it would be in the best interests either of democracy or Americanism, since Mr. Hillman's philosophies and sympathies with Communism are known to every student of politics in these United States.

As an American Christian Mother, I protest the regimentation of the young people of our country into service camps under the guidance of such a man.

Train an army to insure the safety of America but avoid concentration camps for American Youth.

—**Mrs. A.F.D.**
Laurence, Kansas

Hitler 'Not Fooling'

TO THE EDITOR:

Hitler said two years ago he would drive the money changers out of Europe.

He was not fooling when he made that assertion.

One of the French Rothschilds landed yesterday with a bag of diamonds. He says he just came over for a rest.

I suppose the poor people over there do not need any rest from their fighting and paying taxes!

DeGolia, Pa. —**Earl C. Walter**

The Same Faces!

TO THE EDITOR:

I have recently received from a friend in Brooklyn, a copy of *"The Christian Front,"* written by the Rev. Edward Brophy.

It gives the aims and principles of The Christian Front and is also an exposure of the anti-Christian Front in this country. I believe that every reader of SOCIAL JUSTICE should have a copy of this well-written book, and that every SOCIAL JUSTICE Club should aid in its distribution.

Copies can be obtained by writing to The Christian Front, Prospect Hall, Brooklyn, N. Y., or to The Christian Front, P.O. Box 265, Jamaica, Queens. The price is 15 cents per copy.

We must find some way to awaken the Christian people in this country, and our greatest need is for leaders who are not afraid openly to take part in the movement. The real enemies of the Christian Front movement are the so-called Liberals, who leave nothing undone to gain their ends. They work under the slogan of the Communist Party, that the end justifies the means.

I attended the recent sedition trial in Brooklyn, N. Y., and saw in the corridors the same faces that I saw nearly twenty years ago at the Sacco and Vanzetti trial in Dedham, Mass.

They were then demanding an acquittal and not a crucifixion.

Buffalo, N. Y. —**F.L.M.**

Result Is the Same

TO THE EDITOR:

A banner headline in the *New York Herald-Tribune* reads: "Churchill Tells the World He Would See London in Ashes Rather Than Yield."

Obviously a misprint since one cannot "see" London from Ottawa.

Should read: "Churchill Tells the World He Would "hear" London in Ashes Rather Than Yield."

—**M. P. Browne**
Brooklyn, N. Y.

Publish Their Records

TO THE EDITOR:

I feel it would be an excellent idea each week to list in SOCIAL JUSTICE the names of about five or more of our present Senators, giving their name, their service in the World War, their present age, the number and age of sons available for this war, their willingness to enlist and service in this war, and any other information which might put them on the spot regarding their apparent desire to engulf us in this war.

Print the names of these "get in the war" friends first.

—**R. W. Eish**
North Canton, Ohio

Ford for President?

TO THE EDITOR:

For a long time I have been deeply concerned over the warlike activities of this administration and have been hoping that the Republicans would nominate a candidate, known to all Americans as a man who really wanted to keep America out of war. Instead, the Republicans named Mr. Willkie, who was first supported by the same people who supported the William Allen White proposals to get America into the war now

The people who first wore Willkie buttons were the same people who had been wearing the rosette of the American Friends of France and who favor America's participation in the war.

The resolutions committee at the Republican Convention refused to heed the advice of the 25 Republican members of Congress who went to Philadelphia to urge their party to adopt a real straightforward peace program. Their platform promising aid to those nations whose governments we may like is simply another way of expressing interventionists' sentiments.

With the Democrats prepared to perpetuate Mr. Roosevelt in office, there is little for ordinary citizens, like myself, to do except, perhaps, to form a new PEACE PARTY. Such a party to win at the polls must be headed by a man able to draw support from both old parties, and the man who can best do this is HENRY FORD!

Recently the writer was in Dearborn to discuss the Ford for President movement.

Mr. Ford's representatives told me that Mr. Ford is no candidate for office and would do nothing to further his candidacy. However, Mr. Ford has always tried to be a good American and if the American people, through the medium of a convention or otherwise, feel that Henry Ford is the man to head a third party, pledged to keep America out of war, as that party's candidate for President, then Henry Ford would do his duty and accept the nomination.

Henry Ford for President Committee
Richard Knaust, Chairman
New York, N. Y.

Washington Visit Cheers Mothers of American Sons

TO THE EDITOR:

We, the Mothers of American Sons, left our homes the week of June 17th and went to Washington to see how our Senators and Congressmen felt about our going into Europe's latest money war. We contacted most of the Senators and Congressmen and all but two were friendly and helpful.

Our own Congressman told me I had better let the running of the Government up to Mr. Roosevelt and his Cabinet, and I told him that was what we were most afraid of.

In an interview with Mrs. Lynn, our national President, Senator Walsh said that he didn't expect we would be voted into war during the Summer, but that he was fearful that an "incident" might be created that would take us into war.

Senator Rush Holt in being interviewed stated that the policy of the Mothers of American Sons on combatting propaganda was very fine and that he planned to make a speech from the Senate floor that afternoon on the subject. He also said that the most effective way for constituents to make known to their representatives was to barrage them with letters and cards rather than petitions as numbers are what count and dramatics play a very important part today.

After our work was finished in Washington, our president, with two other Mothers, went to the Republican convention at Philadelphia. They were fortunate in getting personal interviews with the majority of the candidates. They were assured by each of them that they were opposed to sending troops abroad.

The last interview was with Wendell Willkie in which he stated that he would be the last man to send boys abroad to fight, as he was in the last war himself for many months and he knew what it was. He gave his word of honor to the Mothers and assured them that not one American boy would fight Europe's wars — unless he was forced to send them through a vote of Congress.

All the Mothers who made the trip to Washington (even though it meant some sacrifices) feel that it was well worth while.

We feel that a great many of the men we contacted will think twice before they vote this country into another war.

—**Helen V. Wetherall**
Chicago, Ill.

Letters

(Continued from Page 16)

Chicago Peace Rally

TO THE EDITOR:

On Sunday afternoon, August 4th, at Soldiers Field in Chicago, we will have a great demonstration of the KEEP AMERICA OUT OF WAR sentiment of Chicagoland.

Col. Charles A. Lindbergh and U.S. Senator Bennett Champ Clark of Missouri will be the principal speakers. In addition to them, Capt. Thomas A. Ambrose, former Commander of the G.A.R., will give a short address.

Col. Lindbergh will be introduced by Mr. Merrill C. Meigs, head of the Hearst Newspaper organization in Chicago, a flyer himself and a leader in civil aeronautics.

The chairman of our group is Mr. Avery Brundage, a successful business man, a champion athlete while at college and afterwards, a leader in Olympics, and for several years the national head of the Amateur Athletic Union.

William J. Grace, who is executive secretary of our committee, is the past Illinois Commander of the Veterans of Foreign Wars—nationally active in that organization. He is former President of the Irish Fellowship Club of Chicago, former assistant State's Attorney, active here in political, civic and patriotic affairs.

This meeting is the result of a resolution of Cook County Council of the Veterans of Foreign Wars of the United States, calling on all patriotically minded citizens to join in a mass demonstration of the KEEP AMERICA OUT OF WAR feeling in Chicagoland. We look forward to a great demonstration and would appreciate any announcement of our meeting that you can see fit to give.

Among others who are very active in our work is Father Aubert of St. Peter's Church here and many of your supporters, and I can believe it should be added that a good deal of the success can be attributed to their efforts.

—G. F. Jedlika, Jr.

Chicago, Ill.

Bring All the Children

TO THE EDITOR:

A recent editorial from *The New York Times* captioned "Ships for the Children," implies that the United States of America is to blame for the unfortunate plight of English (British) children.

"Are they to be killed or maimed, or warped in body and mind for the rest of their lives by an unintentional provision of our laws?", the editorial asks.

I wonder if it is fair to imply that "an unintentional provision of our laws" could be the probable cause?

Is it not reasonable to believe that the fate of English children has been decided by the rulers of Great Britain? In other words, was it not Great Britain that declared war and is it not within the power of that great country to bring about peace?

Surely, the countries of Europe must have considered their off-springs when they went into the mess. Or are we expected to assume that European nations don't give a rap for defenseless children and that the United States of America is the only one that does?

I have a large family and have a sympathetic feeling for children. Please consider the following suggestion: Bring all the children of European countries at war, to Canada and the United States, because we have large areas of uninhabited land and those youngsters could be trained to be reputable citizens with a common language.

With all those children "over here" we could make an effective contribution to the prevention of future European wars. They would not be "over there" being trained in military pursuits, racial jealousies, etc.

—Father of Nine

Buffalo, N. Y.

Borrowing Genius

TO THE EDITOR:

It should be humiliating to those who classify themselves as Democrats and Republicans to find their party leaders making public confession of poverty of competence, by going over to the opposition to find capability.

Two staunch Republican sires added to the Democratic cabinet corral is evidence of a need of new blood.

The nomination of a lifelong Democrat to head the Republican presidential ticket, is enough to anger the rank and file of both parties.

This 1940's *"Mid-summer Nights Dream."*

Well might the Republican Party exclaim this Titiana: *"Methought I was enamoured of an ass—how came THIS thing to pass?"*

—Don B. Husted

Burton, Ohio

Reader Enlightened

TO THE EDITOR:

The pages and pages of "Comments" in SOCIAL JUSTICE are enjoyed immensely because they are so world-wide and newsy, and the "Letters" are always a source of great interest to me. The editorial in the *Jewish Examiner*, reproduced in a recent issue of SOCIAL JUSTICE, on the trial of the Christian Front so-called "conspirators" was of great interest because of the hunch I had at the start of that trial that certain persons were at the root of the whole mess and that Father Coughlin was the one they were aiming at to besmirch and blacken and blast from the horizon forever. I see they have added Dr. Edward Lodge Curran and other "sinister figures," whoever they might be.

—Mary M. Coogan

New York City

Votes Speak Loudly

TO THE EDITOR:

One ominous warning, above all others, comes screaming to us from war-torn Europe:

"Our liberty and freedom were taken too much for granted. We took far more interest in sports, jitterbugging and jazz than we took in affairs now proving to be so vital to our very existence as a free people."

"But," you say, "what can we over here do to make our voice heard above the multitude?"

Listen, my good friend and American citizen, who has waited almost too long before "doing anything."

Start immediately to think for yourself. Sift the wheat from the chaff and know who, among those in high places in our National, State, County and City Governments are working for the interest of America, and let these patriotic, God-fearing men know you are behind them 100 per cent.

Resolve here and now that you will not let one single day pass without doing one good deed for your country each and every day, if by nothing more than telling someone who is in position to help correct it, your desires as to legislation already in effect or about to become effective.

Send letters every day to those in authority demanding the correction of these abuses in Government or legislation that so vitally affect your every day life.

And don't you think for one minute your protestations or commendations won't be heard and acted upon! There is a common language every politician understands, no matter how un-patriotic you may believe him to be, it is the language of votes.

—Murray J. Knowles

Detroit, Mich.

Both Are Enemies

TO THE EDITOR:

The nations of the old world traded by barter long before money or gold was ever thought of, and, according to history, were often better off than they are today.

What is the difference between nations being forced to barter by a Hitler and nations forced to trade with gold controlled by a gang of international racketeers who care more for their usurious profits than they do about the welfare of the people whom they exploit?

Our greatest enemy today is not Hitler but these international gangsters who would force our country into war, not to stop Hitler but to protect their own crumbling strangle-hold on the nations of the world through their control of gold.

I hold no brief for a Hitler who bludgeons and batters his innocent victims into submission any more than for the international financial racketeers who starve their victims into subjection. Both are enemies of our free, democratic, American institutions.

—M. Molloy

Philadelphia, Pa.

Revolutions Move Slowly

TO THE EDITOR:

Years from now history will record this period as an era of the greatest progressive revolution the world has ever known.

The trouble with us is that we do not take a "long" view of the present situation. This change initiating the "voice" of the people, including woman suffrage, grew out of what is known as the first World War. This is the same war. It may take another twenty-five years before completion.

Reviewing the past, events comparable to this did not happen rapidly. It was nothing for a war to last twenty, forty, yes, even a hundred years. Compared to the Reformation, the Industrial Revolution, etc., this will be the greatest renovation of all time.

Humanity has a way of sinking into the depths of depression and stalemation by its own weight. Won't it be a surprise to all those who are predicting the "downfall of civilization," if, when this is over, we enter into the most prosperous, peaceful and contented times for everybody, all peoples alike, that the world has ever known!

—Michael Domini

Minneapolis, Minn.

Drawing the Lucky Name

—Cartoonist Parrish in the Chicago Tribune.

Press Opinion

Editorial Reactions

SOCIAL JUSTICE was not intending to prepare a hot weather humor column.

But if you can read without laughter the editorial comments on the recent Chicago convention, which drafted the reluctant Mr. Roosevelt for a third term nomination, your restraint is firmer than ours.

Here's a brief cross section of a lot of office reading during the last week:

Messianic Complex

"Much that is more precious than tradition is being shattered," says the *Washington Post*. "That which arouses more doubt and anxiety is the Messianic complex which seems to be possessing Mr. Roosevelt ever more firmly When the selective service law went into effect, early in the last war, President Wilson announced that it must not be regarded as a 'conscription of the unwilling.' The phrase recurs to mind in connection with the 'draft Roosevelt' movement at Chicago; that certainly cannot be regarded as 'a conscription of the unwilling.'"

* * *

From an Old Schoolmate

"Less candor has never been in the White House. More duplicity has never been there. There has never been a serener contempt for the common sense of the American people," says the *Chicago Tribune*, whose able editor has known Mr. Roosevelt since the two were schoolmates in a knee pants era. "There never has been a more determined resolve to sacrifice American welfare to personal aggrandizement," comments the President's old schoolmate, whose paper concludes:

"The party which has broken down the American safeguard against dictatorship has nominated a man who for seven years has been trying to dictate and who for three years has been preparing this country for war in Europe and in Asia . . The responsible members will bear the reproach of their actions to the end of their days."

* * *

Crises While You Wait

"If Mr. Roosevelt should be re-elected this year he will have a crisis handy in 1944," cautions the *New York Sun*. "The acceptance speech throbs with Mr. Roosevelt's expressed desire for 'a private life of my own choice.' This wish should be granted by the voters on a Tuesday in November."

* * *

Sitting in a 'Draft'

"Mr. Roosevelt," adds the *Kansas City Star*, "makes the gesture of renunciation in order that delegates may be put in the position before the country of insisting that he make the supreme sacrifice of running against his will. Of course, if the President had not wanted the nomination he could have stopped the 'draft' at any time in the last six months."

* * *

Republicans Have an Issue

The *St. Louis Post-Dispatch*, founded by a battling journalist of the old school and retaining much of its founder's fire, comments:

"The nomination of Mr. Roosevelt for a third term breaks more than a tradition of our political system. It violates . . . what amounts to an unwritten amendment to the American *Constitution* . . . In a time when the democratic process is on the wane and opportunity for the individual to rise is being denied wholesale in Europe, the party historically known as the party of the democratic rank and file declares its confidence in only one man . . . The Republicans have an issue. It is an issue that the Democrats, singing and waving banners, gave them on the first ballot at Chicago."

* * *

From a Different Camera Angle!

"President Roosevelt's message to the Chicago convention obviously expressed the sincere feelings of an honest man," observes the *Philadelphia Record*, and, as if the editors sincerely meant it, adds: "If there ever was an 'unbossed convention' — this is it. The only attempt to boss it came from a small disgruntled group of anti-Roosevelt delegates and they didn't get to first base."

You're telling us!

* * *

Mountain Air — or 'Dew'?

The new "coalition" Cabinet appointee, Col. Knox, paid his respects to the Democrats with this editorial tribute in the *Chicago Daily News:* "The President has never made a more forthright statement of foreign policy than that contained in his message of acceptance . . . Coming, as it did, after the mists and smoke screens of both the Republican and Democratic platforms, this declaration was like a gust of pure mountain air."

* * *

Astigmatism in Boston

"There is no cause to doubt the President's personal desire to retire," opines the old *Boston Post*, which adds, "but apparently he is convinced, whether by his own thoughts or through the persuasion of others, that there is an overwhelming demand throughout the country for a continuation of his presence in the White House. There is not the slightest question but that the Democrats made a real demand for him to run. He could easily dispose of that if he were minded to."

Which brings us to the editorial opportunity to show that our own office quipsters are just as zippy as any of them. But we are moved to forego the privilege and yield this position of a snappy last paragraph to a quote from Gen. Hugh S. Johnson's syndicated column of comment, to-wit:

"The foundation of this heroic emetic is the blatant impudence of the 'Draft Roosevelt' strategy —the President's own statement that every one who knew him knew that he did not desire and never had desired this nomination. There is only one word to characterize that but it ought never to be applied to a statement by a President — *nor deserve to be applied.*"

Rules of the Contest

1. Open to all readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE except employes of Father Coughlin or members of their families.

2. The contest shall consist of 50 questions and shall run for 11 consecutive weeks, beginning on Monday, May 13, 1940. Two questions each week for 10 weeks and all 50 questions to appear on the 11th week. Each question deals with the social justice principles laid down by Father Coughlin in his radio addresses or with the world's leading men at this time and the effects of their actions on current events.

3. A Grand Prize of $2,500.00 will be awarded the reader of SOCIAL JUSTICE returning the best or most appropriate answers to the 50 questions, such answers to be based on the viewpoint of Father Coughlin and SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine as expressed over the radio or in print. The reader or readers submitting the next nearest correct answers and otherwise complying with the rules shall be eligible for the additional prizes in the order of their correctness.

4. In order to qualify as a prize-winner, the contestant is required to send in 10 cents in coin (not stamps) with each pair of answers. For these remittances the contestant shall have sent without further cost two copies of Father Coughlin's radio lecture books.

5. We cannot take into account solutions without signed names or addresses. Neither can claims to their ownership be recognized. We cannot be responsible for coupons arriving unduly late or lost in the mails. By entering the contest the contestant agrees to accept as final the decision of the judges as to procedure and final outcome.

6. In event of ties additional questions will be submitted. In event of final ties, after two such submissions, duplicate prizes will be awarded.

7. Do NOT decorate coupons. Neatness will not count.

8. Any inquiry, the reply to which could not affect outcome of contest, will be acknowledged.

9. A contestant may send in any number of sets of duly qualified answers and each set will be judged as a unit, but no individual may win more than one prize. If submitting more than one set of answers, the contestant is required to keep them distinct by designating them "Set 1," "Set 2," "Set 3," etc. Each submitted set shall entitle the contestant to the two books specified in Rule 4.

EXTRA CONTEST COUPON FOR A FRIEND

"Contest Manager," SOCIAL JUSTICE, Royal Oak, Michigan

Following are my selections of answers to all 50 questions of the "MEN AND MONEY" Contest. I am enclosing $2.50, payment in full.

No. 1	For Question No. 1 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 26	For Question No. 26 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 2	For Question No. 2 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 27	For Question No. 27 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 3	For Question No. 3 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 28	For Question No. 28 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 4	For Question No. 4 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 29	For Question No. 29 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 5	For Question No. 5 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 30	For Question No. 30 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 6	For Question No. 6 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 31	For Question No. 31 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 7	For Question No. 7 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 32	For Question No. 32 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 8	For Question No. 8 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 33	For Question No. 33 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 9	For Question No. 9 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 34	For Question No. 34 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 10	For Question No. 10 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 35	For Question No. 35 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 11	For Question No. 11 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 36	For Question No. 36 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 12	For Question No. 12 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 37	For Question No. 37 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 13	For Question No. 13 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 38	For Question No. 38 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 14	For Question No. 14 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 39	For Question No. 39 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 15	For Question No. 15 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 40	For Question No. 40 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 16	For Question No. 16 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 41	For Question No. 41 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 17	For Question No. 17 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 42	For Question No. 42 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 18	For Question No. 18 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 43	For Question No. 43 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 19	For Question No. 19 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 44	For Question No. 44 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 20	For Question No. 20 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 45	For Question No. 45 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 21	For Question No. 21 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 46	For Question No. 46 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 22	For Question No. 22 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 47	For Question No. 47 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 23	For Question No. 23 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 48	For Question No. 48 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 24	For Question No. 24 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 49	For Question No. 49 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 25	For Question No. 25 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 50	For Question No. 50 I Select Answer No. ☐

MY NAME IS_____

MY ADDRESS IS_____

TOWN OR CITY_____

If entering now, fill in this coupon in full and return with $2.50
If already entered, fill in from where you left off

AN ANSWER *to* FATHER COUGHLIN'S CRITICS

IN ORDER to refute untrue and scandalous charges hurled at the beloved Priest of the Radio by his critics—particularly by a so-called "Jewish People's Committee" and a booklet prepared under auspices of the General Jewish Committee—

THE FRIENDS OF FATHER COUGHLIN

have prepared a frank, factual and carefully documented defense of Truth and Christian Justice.

★

AN ANSWER TO FATHER COUGHLIN'S CRITICS

is indispensible as a book of reference to refute the old stock charges that are constantly being revived.

★

Now, more than ever, you should have a copy. May we suggest that, in addition to the one that you lend to your friends, you make certain to have always on hand a reference copy of your own.

★

Write for your copy today to

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING COMPANY

ROYAL OAK, MICHIGAN

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Must This Be?

YOUR son!

Your neighbor's son!

Your young husband!

Your brother!

Must these young men be regimented in a battalion of death to save a decadent economic system?

Last week, compulsory military training moved in giant strides towards its final goal when the Senate Military Affairs Committee approved the revised *Burke-Wadsworth Bill*. This bill provides for registration of 42-million men.

This bill provides for the drafting of 1,500,000 youths in the first year.

America will become a military State.

What Europe was 20 years ago, 10 years ago, 5 years ago, America probably will become next year, if this approved Senate Committee bill receives a favorable vote on the floor of the Senate and in the House of Representatives.

* * *

The main provisions of the bill are these five:

(1) Registration of all males between 18 and 64.

(2) Actual military conscription of 1,500,000 men between 21 and 30 this year, starting October 1.

(3) A compulsory period of one year's military training for those conscripted.

(4) A salary of $21 a month for the conscripted.

(5) Later draftees (about 10-million in number) to be chosen from the 21 to 45 age groups as soon as possible.

* * *

The New Deal is hell-bent upon war. Its efforts towards peace and reconciliation with the affairs of other nations have been negligible.

Consistently it has favored British imperialism.

Consistently it has disparaged German Naziism.

No true American is a lover of Naziism.

Is it not possible to have a next-door neighbor whom you do not like without hurling bricks at him over the back fence?

Why is America, under the New Deal, hell-bent upon war?

Because our present rulers labor under the opinion that Hitler or his agents are determined to trade with the South American Republics, particularly, on a basis of barter and not on a basis of gold.

To keep the Nazis out of South America and to maintain trade on a basis of privately-created credit and privately-controlled gold, the youth of America will be taken from their homes, their mothers, their families, their sweethearts and their wives to work for a year at $21 a month learning the fine art of killing to maintain a system which has exploited them, taxed them and kept millions of them in unemployment.

In a nut-shell, that is what is meant by compulsory military training euphoniously tied up to national defense.

It is all related to an economic war.

And in the economic wars of yesterday, today or tomorrow, who profit thereby?

Never those who work in the factories, labor in the fields, bare their breasts to the bullets or pay the penalty of destruction for the hardships which follow.

* * *

Is there not some method of modernizing the financial economy of America other than sacrificing the youth of this nation to the Moloch of gold?

It all gets back to the money question.

It all gets back to the international brigands of finance.

For ten years Father Coughlin has been pointing out the immoralities, the inconsistencies and the irregularities of privately-controlled money.

And many in this nation thought that he was a crack-pot.

They will revise their estimate five years hence when the young men of this nation will be called upon to do or die to sustain a money system which inevitably will crash from the weight of its own errors.

* * *

If the *Burke-Wadsworth Bill* passes, it will initiate many changes in the American manner of life.

We will become a militaristic nation regimented under a single commander-in-chief.

The 40-hour week for the laborer will be renderd obsolete because it cannot compete with the 60-hour week which now obtains in Europe.

Taxation will mount until, like Britain, 70 per cent of American income will be expended upon armaments, munitions, military upkeep and two-ocean navies.

Freedom of speech, of pulpit and of press will be restricted because it will be considered treasonable to speak in behalf of peace under a government dedicated to war.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is not so much opposed to military training of the youth of this nation as it is opposed to their being regimented to do and die for a financial system that is both wicked and obsolete.

All 50 Questions in 'Men and Money' Contest in This Edition of SOCIAL JUSTICE